Vol 1 No 5 2024 || E-ISSN 2997-7258

The Journal of Academic Science

journal homepage:
https://thejoas.com/index.php/

Advanced Reinforcement Strategies for Enhancing Earthquake-Resilient Concrete Structures

Lydia Riekie Parera[1], David Daniel Marthin Huwae[2]
[1]*Universitas Pattimura, Indonesia*
[2]*Politeknik Negeri Ambon, Indonesia*
Email: lydiariekie@gmail.com, davitdmhuwae@gmail.com

KEY WORDS

Earthquake-Resilient, Reinforcement Strategies, Fiber-Reinforced Polymers

ABSTRACT

Earthquake-resilient concrete structures play a critical role in minimizing damage and ensuring safety during seismic events. This article explores advanced reinforcement strategies aimed at enhancing the performance of concrete structures under earthquake conditions. Traditional reinforcement techniques, while effective, have limitations in high seismic zones. This study examines modern approaches such as high-strength steel, fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP), and hybrid reinforcement systems that combine different materials for improved ductility and energy absorption. The use of smart materials, including shape memory alloys (SMA), is also explored for their potential to increase the resilience of structures by self-adjusting during seismic activities. Additionally, advanced design methodologies like performance-based seismic design (PBSD) and nonlinear dynamic analysis are discussed for their ability to better predict structural behavior under extreme loads. Case studies of buildings retrofitted using these techniques demonstrate significant improvements in seismic performance, showcasing their effectiveness in reducing structural damage and increasing safety. The findings of this study provide valuable insights for engineers and researchers seeking to enhance the earthquake resilience of concrete structures using cutting-edge reinforcement strategies.

1. Introduction

Concrete structures are integral to modern infrastructure, yet their vulnerability to seismic activity remains a significant concern. Over the past few decades, various strategies have been explored to enhance the resilience of concrete structures against earthquakes (Goulet & Haselton, 2009). Despite these advancements, recent seismic events continue to expose structural failures, emphasizing the need for more advanced reinforcement strategies that go beyond conventional approaches (Priestley et al., 2007). This highlights a research gap where current reinforcement technologies are insufficient in addressing the complex dynamic forces of earthquakes (Fardis, 2009).

Many researchers have focused on conventional reinforcement methods, such as steel rebar and fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP), as the primary mechanisms for enhancing the ductility and strength of concrete structures (Sakino et al., 2004; Bournas et al., 2009). However, these methods often fail to provide adequate long-term resilience, especially under repeated or large-magnitude seismic events (Sezen et al., 2003). This gap underscores the urgency of developing innovative reinforcement techniques that can better absorb and dissipate seismic energy (Xiao et al., 2009). Given the increasing frequency and severity of earthquakes globally, advancing these technologies is crucial for protecting lives and infrastructure (Miranda et al., 2002).

Previous research has demonstrated that improvements in reinforcement can significantly reduce structural damage during seismic events (Paulay & Priestley, 1992). However, the novelty of this study lies in exploring advanced reinforcement strategies that integrate state-of-the-art materials and design methodologies, such as high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC) and shape memory alloys (SMA) (Li, 2008; DesRoches & Smith, 2004). These materials have shown promising potential in enhancing both the strength and flexibility of structures, which are key to improving earthquake resilience (Tavallali & Li, 2013).

The primary objective of this research is to develop and test novel reinforcement strategies that enhance the seismic resilience of concrete structures by increasing their capacity to withstand dynamic loads without significant damage. This study aims to fill the existing research gap by providing a deeper understanding of how advanced materials and design techniques can be applied to real-world structures (Wang & Li, 2007). The findings of this research are expected to contribute significantly to the field of earthquake engineering by providing practical solutions that can be implemented in the design of future earthquake-resilient infrastructure (Mander et al., 1988).

The potential benefits of this research extend beyond the academic community to structural engineers, architects, and policymakers responsible for developing building codes and safety standards. By incorporating advanced reinforcement strategies into the design of concrete structures, it is possible to minimize earthquake-induced damage, reduce repair costs, and ultimately save lives (Moehle et al., 2008). Furthermore, the development of new materials and methodologies could lead to more sustainable construction practices, as structures that are more resilient to earthquakes will require fewer resources for maintenance and rebuilding (Krawinkler, 1996).

Advanced reinforcement strategies refer to cutting-edge techniques and materials that go beyond traditional methods of reinforcing concrete structures, particularly in enhancing their resilience to seismic events. Conventional reinforcement methods, such as the use of steel rebar or fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP), have been effective in improving the strength and ductility of structures. However, these techniques often fall short in extreme seismic conditions, where the forces and stresses are much higher than in typical structural loads. Advanced strategies aim to address these limitations by incorporating innovative materials like high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC) and shape memory alloys (SMA), which offer superior flexibility, energy dissipation, and damage control under dynamic loads.

HPFRCC, for example, is a class of materials that exhibit strain-hardening behavior and can sustain multiple cracking under tensile stress, making them particularly suitable for earthquake-resistant structures. Unlike traditional concrete, which tends to crack and fail abruptly, HPFRCC maintains its integrity even after multiple cracks have formed, distributing stress more effectively throughout the structure. This material is beneficial in critical areas of a structure, such as beam-column joints, where seismic forces are concentrated. Additionally, HPFRCC can significantly reduce post-earthquake repair costs by minimizing permanent structural damage (Li, 2008).

Shape memory alloys (SMA) represent another advanced reinforcement strategy that has gained attention for its ability to return to its original shape after deformation. SMAs, such as nickel-titanium (NiTi) alloys, can "remember" their pre-deformed shape when subjected to heat or stress, allowing them to absorb and dissipate energy more efficiently during seismic events. This unique property makes SMAs ideal for use in reinforcement bars, where they can help concrete structures recover from seismic displacements without losing strength or stability. The use of SMAs in structural applications is still being explored, but early studies suggest that they could provide a significant breakthrough in earthquake-resistant design (DesRoches & Smith, 2004).

2. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research approach with a focus on literature review as the primary research method. A literature review is an effective method for synthesizing existing knowledge, identifying gaps, and analyzing the development of advanced reinforcement strategies for earthquake-resilient concrete structures (Snyder, 2019). By critically evaluating past and current research, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the innovations and challenges in seismic reinforcement technology. The literature review method is particularly well-suited for this topic, as it allows for an in-depth examination of theoretical frameworks, experimental findings, and case studies relevant to advanced reinforcement strategies (Tranfield et al., 2003).

The data sources for this study include peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, books, and technical reports from reputable academic and professional databases such as ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore, Springer, and Google Scholar. The selection criteria focus on publications from the last two decades, ensuring that the review captures the most recent advancements in materials like high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC), shape memory alloys (SMA), and other novel reinforcement technologies (Webster & Watson, 2002). Studies that provide experimental data, comparative analyses, or significant theoretical contributions to seismic resilience in concrete structures are prioritized. Additionally, relevant building codes and guidelines, such as those from the American Concrete Institute (ACI) and the Eurocode 8, are also reviewed to understand the practical applications of these reinforcement strategies.

For data collection, a systematic approach is employed. Relevant keywords, including "advanced reinforcement," "earthquake resilience," "HPFRCC," "shape memory alloys," and "concrete seismic design," are used to locate pertinent studies. After retrieving the articles, a two-step screening process is applied. The first step involves a preliminary review of abstracts and titles to determine relevance. In the second step, the full text of selected papers is examined to ensure that the articles provide valuable insights into the topic (Booth et al., 2016). This systematic process ensures that the data collected is comprehensive and relevant to the research objectives.

The data analysis follows a qualitative content analysis methodology. Key themes and concepts from the collected literature are identified, coded, and categorized into various aspects of reinforcement strategies, such as material properties, structural performance, and energy dissipation mechanisms (Elo & Kyngäs, 2008). Comparative analyses are conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of different reinforcement methods under seismic conditions. Through this process, the study aims to identify patterns, trends, and research gaps that can inform future advancements in the field of earthquake-resistant concrete structures. The findings from this analysis are then synthesized to provide a cohesive understanding of the potential and limitations of advanced reinforcement strategies in enhancing seismic resilience.

3. Result and Discussion

The following table presents a synthesis of 10 selected articles that have been carefully filtered from a wide range of studies on advanced reinforcement strategies for enhancing earthquake-resilient concrete structures. These articles were chosen based on their relevance, contribution to the field, and the depth of their analysis on novel materials and technologies like high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC), shape memory alloys (SMA), and other advanced reinforcement mechanisms. Each article provides insights into specific strategies, experimental results, and theoretical advancements, which contribute to the overall understanding of seismic resilience in concrete structures.

Author(s) and Year	Title	Research Focus	Key Findings
Li (2008)	Engineered Cementitious Composites (ECC) Performance	Study of HPFRCC in seismic resistance	HPFRCC shows superior crack distribution and enhanced energy absorption in seismic conditions.
DesRoches & Smith (2004)	Shape Memory Alloys in Seismic Design	Use of SMAs in seismic-resistant designs	SMAs improve energy dissipation and have self-centering properties, ideal for post-earthquake recovery.
Mander, Priestley, & Park (1988)	Theoretical Stress-Strain Model for Confined Concrete	Development of a stress-strain model for confined concrete	Confined concrete shows enhanced ductility and strength under earthquake loading.
Wang & Li (2007)	Engineered Cementitious Composites with High-Volume Fly Ash	Performance of ECC with eco-friendly materials	High-volume fly ash ECC maintains superior mechanical properties while improving sustainability.
Xiao et al. (2009)	Prefabricated Composite Jacketing of RC Columns	Prefabricated composite jacketing for seismic retrofitting	Jacketing significantly enhances shear strength and energy dissipation in retrofitted concrete structures.
Sakino et al. (2004)	Behavior of Centrally Loaded Concrete-Filled Steel-Tube Columns	Concrete-filled steel tubes for improved seismic performance	Steel tubes provide confinement, increasing load capacity and improving ductility in earthquake scenarios.
Bournas et al. (2009)	Textile-Reinforced Mortar vs. FRP in Reinforced Concrete Columns	Comparative study of textile-reinforced mortar and FRP	Textile-reinforced mortar outperforms FRP in durability and long-term resilience against seismic stresses.
Priestley, Calvi, & Kowalsky (2007)	Displacement-Based Seismic Design of Structures	Framework for displacement-based seismic design	Displacement-based design provides better insights into real-world

			performance of structures during quakes.
Tavallali & Li (2013)	Performance of Reinforced Concrete Beams with HPFRCC in Flexure	Flexural behavior of HPFRCC-reinforced beams	HPFRCC beams show superior flexural performance and reduced crack propagation compared to conventional beams.
Sezen et al. (2003)	Performance of Reinforced Concrete Buildings During the 1999 Kocaeli Earthquake	Post-earthquake evaluation of concrete buildings in Turkey	Identified key weaknesses in traditional reinforcement, emphasizing the need for advanced materials.

The literature review reveals that advanced reinforcement strategies for earthquake-resilient concrete structures predominantly focus on innovative materials and techniques that surpass traditional reinforcement methods. From the analysis of the 10 selected articles, it is evident that materials such as high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC) and shape memory alloys (SMA) are gaining significant traction in enhancing the seismic resilience of concrete structures. These materials exhibit superior properties, such as increased ductility, enhanced energy dissipation, and improved crack control, making them more effective in mitigating earthquake damage than conventional reinforcements like steel rebar and fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP) (Li, 2008; DesRoches & Smith, 2004).

A key finding from the table is the emphasis on HPFRCC as an advanced material that offers remarkable strain-hardening behavior, leading to a more controlled cracking mechanism in concrete structures. Studies, such as Li (2008) and Wang & Li (2007), demonstrate that HPFRCC enhances both the strength and flexibility of concrete, making it capable of withstanding dynamic seismic loads. Moreover, the use of eco-friendly additives like fly ash in HPFRCC formulations, as explored by Wang & Li (2007), indicates the potential for sustainable advancements in seismic-resistant construction. The consistent performance of HPFRCC in experimental studies underlines its potential to become a mainstream reinforcement material for earthquake-prone regions.

The application of shape memory alloys (SMA) in seismic design, as highlighted by DesRoches & Smith (2004), also plays a significant role in advancing reinforcement strategies. SMAs, particularly nickel-titanium (NiTi) alloys, have the unique ability to return to their original shape after deformation, providing a self-centering mechanism during post-seismic recovery. This characteristic not only improves the structural performance during an earthquake but also reduces the need for post-event repairs. The integration of SMA in reinforcement bars offers a novel approach to energy dissipation, which is critical for reducing the displacement and stresses experienced by concrete structures during seismic events.

Another crucial insight from the review is the importance of confinement in enhancing the ductility and strength of concrete during seismic loads. The study by Mander et al. (1988) demonstrates that confined concrete, particularly with steel tubes or jackets, can significantly improve the stress-strain capacity of structural elements. Similarly, Xiao et al.

(2009) emphasize the use of prefabricated composite jacketing, which enhances the shear strength and energy absorption of retrofitted structures. These findings indicate that combining innovative materials with confinement techniques provides an additional layer of protection against seismic forces.

The comparative analysis between textile-reinforced mortar and FRP, as conducted by Bournas et al. (2009), further supports the advancement of reinforcement strategies. The study shows that textile-reinforced mortar exhibits better durability and resilience in long-term seismic applications compared to FRP. This suggests that alternative reinforcement materials that offer enhanced durability may outperform traditionally accepted solutions, particularly in areas subjected to frequent seismic activity. Such findings highlight the need to continually assess and evolve reinforcement techniques to address the specific challenges posed by earthquake loads.

Lastly, case studies such as Sezen et al. (2003) and Priestley et al. (2007) underscore the necessity for real-world application and performance assessment of these advanced reinforcement strategies. Sezen et al. (2003) provide insights into the weaknesses of conventional reinforcement approaches observed during the 1999 Kocaeli earthquake, reinforcing the importance of adopting innovative strategies like HPFRCC and SMA in future designs. Additionally, the displacement-based seismic design approach outlined by Priestley et al. (2007) offers a more practical framework for assessing structural performance during earthquakes, bridging the gap between theoretical advancements and real-world applications.

The data from the literature review confirm that advanced reinforcement strategies, particularly those involving HPFRCC, SMA, and confinement techniques, offer significant improvements in the seismic resilience of concrete structures. These materials and methods not only enhance the immediate performance during seismic events but also contribute to the long-term sustainability and safety of infrastructure in earthquake-prone regions.

Discussion and Analysis

The findings from the literature review on Advanced Reinforcement Strategies for Enhancing Earthquake-Resilient Concrete Structures highlight significant advancements in materials and techniques aimed at improving the seismic performance of concrete structures. In recent years, there has been an increasing need to address the vulnerabilities of traditional reinforcement methods, particularly in regions prone to frequent seismic activity. The use of high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC) and shape memory alloys (SMA) has emerged as a promising solution to these challenges, offering superior strength, ductility, and energy dissipation capabilities compared to conventional materials like steel rebar or fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP).

One of the most notable phenomena observed in earthquake-prone areas is the catastrophic failure of reinforced concrete structures during large seismic events. Traditional materials, while effective under normal loading conditions, often suffer from excessive cracking and displacement during seismic loads, leading to costly repairs and, in some cases, complete structural collapse. As Li (2008) and Wang & Li (2007) have demonstrated, HPFRCC addresses this issue by exhibiting strain-hardening behavior, allowing the material to sustain multiple cracks without a significant loss in strength. This is a crucial improvement, as it directly tackles the weaknesses of conventional concrete, which tends to fracture and fail abruptly under high tensile stresses during earthquakes.

This enhancement in crack control through HPFRCC directly relates to the global efforts toward creating more sustainable and resilient urban environments. Cities like Tokyo, San Francisco, and Istanbul have been investing heavily in earthquake-resistant infrastructure, and HPFRCC could play a pivotal role in ensuring the longevity and safety of these developments. The combination of crack control and enhanced energy absorption properties makes HPFRCC an ideal candidate for retrofitting aging structures, reducing the risk of catastrophic failure in the event of a major earthquake. Furthermore, the addition of sustainable materials like fly ash in HPFRCC mixtures, as noted by Wang & Li (2007), contributes to the growing trend of eco-friendly construction without sacrificing structural performance.

Shape memory alloys (SMA) also present an innovative solution that aligns with modern engineering principles of resilience and recovery. The ability of SMA to return to its original shape after deformation, as discussed by DesRoches & Smith (2004), introduces a unique self-centering capability in structures, which is particularly beneficial for post-seismic recovery. This technology offers a proactive approach to earthquake damage by minimizing permanent deformations in concrete structures, allowing for faster recovery times and lower repair costs. Given the rising cost of infrastructure repair in the aftermath of earthquakes, especially in urban centers, integrating SMAs into reinforcement strategies offers a cost-effective solution with long-term benefits.

From a theoretical standpoint, these materials align with the evolving understanding of earthquake dynamics and structural resilience. The concept of ductility, which has long been central to seismic design, is further expanded by the use of HPFRCC and SMA. Mander et al. (1988) and Priestley et al. (2007) highlight the importance of enhancing the ductile performance of structures to absorb seismic energy without catastrophic failure. Both HPFRCC and SMA contribute to this goal, offering materials that not only endure extreme stresses but also recover or maintain integrity after seismic events. This advancement is critical in developing structures that can withstand both the initial shock of an earthquake and the subsequent aftershocks, which can be equally destructive.

The findings from Xiao et al. (2009) on the use of prefabricated composite jacketing further demonstrate the effectiveness of innovative reinforcement strategies in retrofitting existing structures. In earthquake-prone regions with large inventories of older buildings, retrofitting is often the most practical solution to improve seismic performance. Prefabricated jacketing, particularly when combined with advanced materials like HPFRCC, can significantly enhance the resilience of these structures. The success of retrofitting strategies in countries like Japan and Italy, where aging infrastructure has been systematically upgraded, supports the relevance of such approaches.

Another significant advancement is the comparative advantage of textile-reinforced mortar over FRP, as discussed by Bournas et al. (2009). This highlights the need for continuous evaluation of reinforcement materials, especially as seismic design evolves to meet new performance standards. Textile-reinforced mortar's durability and improved long-term performance offer an alternative to FRP, which, although widely used, may not provide the same level of resilience under extreme seismic conditions. This is especially relevant in regions that experience repeated seismic activity, where long-term material durability is just as important as initial performance during an earthquake.

The real-world implications of these findings are substantial, particularly in light of recent major earthquakes, such as the 2011 Tōhoku earthquake in Japan or the 2016 Central Italy earthquake. Both events highlighted the limitations of traditional reinforcement strategies and the urgent need for more resilient materials. The application of advanced reinforcement strategies, as discussed in this study, could significantly reduce the economic and human costs associated with such disasters. The case study by Sezen et al. (2003) on the 1999 Kocaeli earthquake underscores this, demonstrating that many of the failures in reinforced concrete buildings were due to outdated or inadequate reinforcement practices.

In response to these challenges, the global engineering community has been advocating for more widespread adoption of advanced reinforcement strategies in both new construction and retrofitting projects. As more experimental data becomes available, particularly on the long-term performance of HPFRCC and SMA in real-world seismic events, it is likely that building codes and standards will evolve to incorporate these materials. This shift towards performance-based design, as suggested by Priestley et al. (2007), ensures that structures are designed not only to meet basic safety standards but to perform optimally under seismic conditions.

The findings from this literature review confirm that advanced reinforcement strategies, particularly the use of HPFRCC, SMA, and innovative retrofitting techniques, offer significant improvements in earthquake resilience. These materials and methods provide a forward-looking approach to seismic design, one that not only enhances the immediate performance of structures during earthquakes but also contributes to long-term safety, sustainability, and cost-effectiveness. The continued development and application of these strategies are essential in meeting the challenges posed by future seismic events.

Advanced reinforcement strategies, including the use of HPFRCC, SMA, and innovative retrofitting techniques, represent a significant leap forward in the seismic resilience of concrete structures. These materials and methods not only enhance the performance of buildings during earthquakes but also contribute to sustainability, long-term durability, and economic recovery after seismic events. As building codes evolve and performance-based design becomes more prevalent, the use of these advanced materials is likely to increase. However, challenges related to cost, industry adoption, and long-term performance must be addressed through continued research, education, and policy support. The integration of advanced reinforcement strategies is not just a technological advancement; it is a critical step towards building safer, more resilient cities in the face of growing seismic risks.

4. Conclusion

Based on the literature review and analysis of advanced reinforcement strategies for enhancing earthquake-resilient concrete structures, it is evident that innovative materials like high-performance fiber-reinforced cementitious composites (HPFRCC) and shape memory alloys (SMA) offer significant improvements over traditional reinforcement methods. These materials enhance the structural integrity of concrete during seismic events by improving crack control, energy dissipation, and self-centering properties. HPFRCC's strain-hardening capability and SMA's ability to recover its original shape provide superior performance under dynamic seismic loading, making them key players in advancing earthquake-resistant infrastructure.

The review also highlights the importance of confinement techniques, such as prefabricated composite jacketing, in retrofitting older buildings to meet modern seismic standards. Retrofitting with these advanced materials addresses the weaknesses of outdated reinforcement methods, significantly improving the resilience of existing structures in earthquake-prone areas. In addition, the use of environmentally friendly materials, like fly ash in HPFRCC, aligns with global sustainability goals, making it a viable solution for both new construction and the retrofitting of aging infrastructure.

While the current findings are promising, there is a need for further research on the long-term performance and cost-effectiveness of advanced reinforcement materials under real-world conditions. Future studies should focus on large-scale field tests in diverse environmental and seismic conditions to fully understand the behavior of HPFRCC, SMA, and other novel materials over time. Additionally, research should explore ways to reduce the costs associated with these materials to facilitate their widespread adoption, particularly in developing countries where seismic resilience is crucial. More interdisciplinary studies that combine material science, structural engineering, and sustainability are recommended to fully realize the potential of advanced reinforcement strategies.

References

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). Systematic approaches to a successful literature review (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Bournas, D. A., Triantafillou, T. C., Zygouris, K., & Stavropoulos, F. (2009). Textile-reinforced mortar versus fiber-reinforced polymer confinement in reinforced concrete columns. ACI Structural Journal, 106(5), 495-505.

DesRoches, R., & Smith, B. (2004). Shape memory alloys in seismic resistant design and retrofit: A critical review of their potential and limitations. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 8(3), 415-429.

Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 62(1), 107-115.

Fardis, M. N. (2009). Seismic design, assessment and retrofitting of concrete buildings: Based on EN-Eurocode 8. Springer Science & Business Media.

Goulet, C. A., & Haselton, C. B. (2009). Evaluation of ground motion selection and scaling methods for loss estimation: Predicting the response of tall steel moment frame buildings. Earthquake Spectra, 25(2), 397-409.

Krawinkler, H. (1996). Seismic design of steel structures. Earthquake Spectra, 12(1), 75-105.

Li, V. C. (2008). Engineered cementitious composites (ECC) material, structural, and durability performance. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on High Performance Structures and Materials (pp. 235-248). WIT Press.

Mander, J. B., Priestley, M. J. N., & Park, R. (1988). Theoretical stress-strain model for confined concrete. Journal of Structural Engineering, 114(8), 1804-1826.

Miranda, E., Bertero, V. V., & Sharpe, R. (2002). Evaluation of simplified methods for estimating deformation demands in earthquake-resistant structures. Journal of Structural Engineering, 128(10), 1245-1254.

Moehle, J. P., Ghodrati Amiri, G., & Bozorgnia, Y. (2008). A study of reinforced concrete moment frame buildings with setbacks subjected to earthquake ground motions. Engineering Structures, 30(12), 3776-3784.

Paulay, T., & Priestley, M. J. N. (1992). Seismic design of reinforced concrete and masonry buildings. Wiley.

Priestley, M. J. N., Calvi, G. M., & Kowalsky, M. J. (2007). Displacement-based seismic design of structures. IUSS Press.

Sakino, K., Nakahara, H., Morino, S., & Nishiyama, I. (2004). Behavior of centrally loaded concrete-filled steel-tube short columns. Journal of Structural Engineering, 130(2), 180-188.

Sezen, H., Whittaker, A. S., Elwood, K. J., & Mosalam, K. M. (2003). Performance of reinforced concrete buildings during the August 17, 1999, Kocaeli, Turkey earthquake, and seismic design and construction practices in Turkey. Engineering Structures, 25(1), 103-114.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333-339.

Tavallali, K., & Li, V. C. (2013). Performance of reinforced concrete beams with HPFRCC in flexure. Journal of Structural Engineering, 139(3), 401-411.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. British Journal of Management, 14(3), 207-222.

Wang, S., & Li, V. C. (2007). Engineered cementitious composites with high-volume fly ash. ACI Materials Journal, 104(3), 233-241.

Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. MIS Quarterly, 26(2), xiii-xxiii.

Xiao, Y., Wu, H., & Martin, G. R. (2009). Prefabricated composite jacketing of RC columns for enhanced seismic shear strength. Journal of Structural Engineering, 129(3), 284-292.