

Cultural Advancement Strategy: Realities, Paradigms, and Policy Orientations in Managing UNESCO Cultural Heritage



¹Badruzzaman, ²Radiwan, ³Priyono, ⁴Trida Chairu, ⁵Muh. Hamdani, ⁶Andy Suryandi, ⁷Muhammad Athar Ismail Muzakir

^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}Directorate for Development Policy of Human, Population, and Culture,

National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia

Email: badr005@brin.go.id

KEY WORDS

Cultural Heritage
Preservation,
Sustainable Tourism,
Community
Involvement, Disaster
Mitigation

A B S T R A C T

This study explores the management and preservation of cultural heritage in Indonesia, focusing on the challenges and strategies used to safeguard cultural assets. Using a qualitative approach through literature review and library research, the paper examines existing data on the conservation, development, and utilization of heritage sites. The findings reveal that while significant progress has been made in heritage preservation, challenges such as urbanization, overtourism, and climate change continue to threaten these sites. Institutional frameworks like the Cultural Heritage Preservation Centre (BPCB) play a key role through documentation, restoration, and community engagement. However, the study emphasizes the need for stronger community involvement, sustainable tourism strategies, and the integration of digital technologies and disaster mitigation measures. It also highlights the necessity of a balanced policy framework that incorporates ecological, academic, and economic perspectives for sustainable cultural heritage management in Indonesia. This research contributes to understanding the intersection of policy, community engagement, and sustainability in cultural heritage management.

1. Introduction

Micro-enterprises play a pivotal role in the economic landscape, particularly in developing countries, where they contribute significantly to employment and GDP (Ayyagari et al., 2007). However, these small-scale businesses face considerable challenges due to global economic uncertainties, including financial crises, fluctuating exchange rates, trade wars, and pandemics (Bartik et al., 2020). These factors have exacerbated the vulnerability of micro-enterprises, especially those with limited access to resources, capital, and markets (Beck et al., 2005). In particular, the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the

fragility of micro-enterprises in maintaining business continuity, underlining the urgency for adaptive strategies (Beglaryan & Shakhmuradyan, 2020).

Research Gap and Urgency

While much has been written about the challenges micro-enterprises face, a significant gap exists in understanding the specific strategies that businesses can adopt to mitigate the risks posed by global economic instability. Previous research has predominantly focused on the general economic impacts on small businesses, with limited attention given to strategies that focus on business model



adaptation, digitalization, and access to finance (Lu, 2025; Mujianto et al., 2023). This gap is critical as it impedes the formulation of comprehensive strategies that can guide micro-enterprises through times of uncertainty, especially in the context of emerging global challenges such as climate change and economic crises. Additionally, there is a lack of studies that simultaneously explore the intersection of digital transformation, financial access, and business innovation, which could potentially strengthen the resilience of micro-enterprises.

The urgency of this research stems from the increasing global economic volatility and the vulnerability of micro-enterprises in this context. Policymakers and business owners alike are seeking sustainable solutions that can help businesses survive and thrive in uncertain times. According to the Gaglio et al. (2022), digital transformation and innovation are emerging as key factors that can help mitigate these challenges, yet many micro-enterprises still struggle with the implementation of these strategies (Gaglio et al., 2022). Therefore, there is an immediate need to explore how micro-enterprises can adopt and benefit from these innovations, particularly in the face of financial and operational barriers (Rupeika-Apoga & Petrovska, 2022).

Previous Studies

Studies on micro-enterprises have explored the impact of economic uncertainty on business operations, identifying the key challenges faced by businesses such as limited access to finance (Aryeetey, 1994), poor digital literacy, and high operational costs (Sopandi et al., 2025). Ivanova & Slavova (2022) have emphasized the role of innovation in improving business resilience during crises, suggesting that businesses that embraced diversification and digitalization fared better in terms of survival (Ivanova & Slavova, 2022). However, a comprehensive analysis of the combined impact of digitalization, financial accessibility, and business innovation on the sustainability of micro-enterprises remains scarce in the literature.

Novelty of the Study

This paper contributes to the literature by addressing the research gap and offering a novel perspective on the interconnectedness of digital transformation, financial access, and innovative business models. Unlike previous studies, this research adopts a holistic approach by examining these factors simultaneously and evaluating their combined impact on micro-enterprise resilience in the face of global economic challenges. The study also offers insights into the practical implications of these strategies for both policymakers and entrepreneurs (Development, 2021; Marolt et al., 2024).

Objectives and Contributions

The primary objective of this research is to investigate the strategies micro-enterprises can adopt to enhance their resilience to economic uncertainty. Specifically, it examines the role of digitalization, innovation, and access to finance in mitigating the adverse effects of economic instability. This study also aims to provide actionable recommendations for micro-enterprise owners and policymakers to foster an environment that supports the adoption of these strategies. By addressing the combined influence of digital tools, financial literacy, and business diversification, this research aims to fill a critical gap in the literature and provide a roadmap for future research on micro-enterprise sustainability (Audretsch et al., 2025; Daulay et al., 2023). The study will explicitly outline how these strategies can be integrated into business practices to improve resilience during crises.

Practical Implications

This research has significant practical implications. It will assist micro-enterprise owners in identifying viable strategies to implement in response to global economic challenges. It also aims to guide policymakers in developing supportive frameworks that enhance the digital and financial capabilities of micro-enterprises. As such, the study will contribute to creating a more resilient micro-enterprise sector,



particularly in developing economies where these businesses form the backbone of the economy (Ndiege et al., 2012; Sendawula et al., 2018). Additionally, by identifying barriers to the adoption of digital and financial strategies, the research will help in formulating targeted interventions that can increase micro-enterprises' ability to cope with economic fluctuations.

2. Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative approach, specifically utilizing a literature review methodology to analyze the strategies employed by micro-enterprises in adapting to global economic uncertainties. Qualitative research is particularly suited for exploring complex phenomena and understanding the nuances of business adaptation strategies in different economic contexts (Creswell & Poth, 2016). By reviewing and synthesizing existing literature, this study aims to build a comprehensive understanding of how micro-enterprises have responded to challenges such as economic instability, financial crises, and market disruptions (Krippendorff, 2018). The literature review methodology allows for a detailed exploration of themes such as digitalization, innovation, financial access, and community involvement in the context of micro-enterprise resilience.

Research Type: Literature Study

The research employs a literature study approach, which involves systematically reviewing existing academic articles, books, reports, and other scholarly sources related to the topic of micro-enterprise resilience during times of economic uncertainty (Bowen, 2009). Literature studies are particularly useful when investigating areas that have already been explored but lack integration or comprehensive understanding, as is the case with micro-enterprise strategies in the face of global economic challenges (Moleong, 2019). This methodology helps consolidate findings from various studies and identifies trends, gaps, and critical factors that influence micro-enterprise sustainability (Krippendorff, 2018).

Data Sources

The sources of data for this study include secondary data obtained from a wide range of academic and professional literature. This includes peer-reviewed journal articles, books, reports from international organizations, and government publications. The primary sources of data are drawn from the following categories:

- **Academic Articles:** These provide insights into the adaptation strategies of micro-enterprises during economic crises, digitalization, financial accessibility, and business innovation (Abiodun et al., 2021; Omowole et al., 2024).
- **Reports from International Organizations:** Reports from organizations like the OECD and World Bank provide critical data on the challenges faced by micro-enterprises and the global economic context (Development, 2021; Radwan, 2010).
- **Government and Policy Documents:** These documents provide insights into the regulatory environment and policies aimed at supporting micro-enterprises, which are essential for understanding the macroeconomic factors that influence business adaptability (Gurtoo, 2009).

Data Collection Techniques

The data collection process in this study follows the systematic literature search technique, which involves searching for relevant scholarly articles and reports across databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, JSTOR, and SpringerLink. The search was conducted using specific keywords such as "micro-enterprises," "economic resilience," "digital transformation," "financial access," and "business innovation" to identify studies published from 2018 to 2023. These keywords were chosen to capture studies related to the strategic responses of micro-enterprises during periods of economic instability. The selection criteria included:

- Relevance to the research topic.



- Publication in reputable academic journals and books.
- The focus on micro-enterprises or small businesses within the context of global economic instability.
- Studies that provided both theoretical frameworks and practical examples of adaptive strategies.

The inclusion of articles published between 2018 and 2023 ensured the relevance and timeliness of the research. The search was refined by eliminating studies that did not focus on the challenges faced by micro-enterprises during periods of global economic instability or those lacking a focus on the intersection of digitalization, financial access, and innovation.

Once the literature was selected, each article was reviewed to extract key findings related to the strategies employed by micro-enterprises, particularly those that focused on digital tools, innovation, and financial access (Bowen, 2009; Krippendorff, 2018). The iterative process involved reviewing the identified articles and using citation tracking to discover additional relevant sources (Moleong, 2019).

Data Analysis Method

The data collected from the literature were analyzed using content analysis and critical discourse analysis, two commonly used techniques in qualitative research (Fairclough, 2013; Krippendorff, 2018).

- **Content Analysis:** This method was used to identify recurring themes, patterns, and trends in the literature. Key themes such as digitalization, innovation, financial literacy, and community involvement were coded and categorized to develop a comprehensive understanding of how these factors influence the resilience of micro-enterprises (Krippendorff, 2018). The analysis also highlighted the barriers that micro-enterprises face in implementing these strategies, such as limited access to technology

and financial resources (Chouki et al., 2020). The process of content analysis was applied systematically, first identifying all references to adaptation strategies in the articles and then categorizing these references based on their relevance to the research questions.

- **Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA):** CDA was employed to analyze the underlying assumptions and power structures present in the literature regarding the role of policy and regulation in supporting micro-enterprises. This method helps to understand how the discourse surrounding micro-enterprise resilience is constructed and how it reflects broader societal and economic power dynamics (Fairclough, 2013). By examining the policy frameworks and institutional support for micro-enterprises, this analysis provides insights into how external factors, such as government regulations and market conditions, shape the ability of micro-enterprises to adapt (Gurtoo, 2009). This approach helped identify how the language of policy documents and academic articles reflects the perceived role of micro-enterprises in national economic resilience.

Data Interpretation

Data interpretation was carried out by synthesizing the findings from the various sources and drawing connections between the themes identified. This allowed for the identification of key success factors for micro-enterprises, including the adoption of digital tools, the importance of financial literacy, and the role of innovation in maintaining business sustainability. The findings were compared and contrasted with previous studies to determine their relevance and applicability in different regional contexts. This synthesis process was iterative, allowing for deeper insights into how these factors, when combined, affect the long-term resilience of micro-enterprises in the face of global economic uncertainty.

The methodology described in this section allows for an in-depth analysis of the strategies employed by micro-enterprises to cope with global economic challenges. By synthesizing findings from existing

literature and employing qualitative analysis methods, this study offers valuable insights into the factors that contribute to the resilience of micro-enterprises and provides recommendations for future research and policy development.

3. Result and Discussion

This section presents the findings from the literature review on the management and preservation of cultural heritage in Indonesia, particularly focusing on the approach outlined in the Law No. 11 of 2010 on Cultural Heritage. The results are categorized into key themes: protection, development, utilization, and community participation, all of which are essential components of cultural heritage management in Indonesia.

Protection and Preservation of Cultural Heritage

Effective protection of cultural heritage is critical to ensuring that historical and cultural sites are preserved for future generations. As per the Law No. 11 of 2010, the protection of cultural heritage encompasses both preventive and corrective measures, aiming to safeguard heritage from natural disasters, human activity, and environmental threats (Chandra & Hum, 2020). According to Bosher et al. (2020), natural disasters such as earthquakes, floods, and climate change pose significant risks to the integrity of cultural heritage sites (Bosher et al., 2020). Similarly, Amineddoleh (2015) highlight the social risks, including looting and vandalism, that threaten cultural assets, especially in areas of conflict or political unrest (Amineddoleh, 2015).

The Cultural Heritage Preservation Centre (BPCB) plays a pivotal role in protecting cultural heritage through documentation, monitoring, and regulation of development activities around heritage sites (Rosyadi, 2014). As noted by Wibowo (2014), local communities are essential partners in these efforts, as they can act as custodians of heritage sites. BPCB's engagement with local communities through awareness campaigns and training programs enhances the capacity of residents to protect heritage

site (Wibowo, 2014). Additionally, disaster risk assessments and zoning regulations are implemented to minimize the impact of environmental and human-induced risks, such as construction activities near cultural sites (Chandra & Hum, 2020).

Development and Utilization of Cultural Heritage

The development and utilization of cultural heritage sites involve transforming these sites into accessible and sustainable resources for education, tourism, and community engagement, without compromising their historical value. Krisnawati & Suprihardjo (2014) suggest that cultural heritage sites, when effectively developed, serve as key drivers of education, science, and tourism (Ursache, 2015). This aligns with the goals of the Indonesian government, which seeks to enhance public awareness and foster economic growth through cultural heritage tourism.

A critical aspect of cultural heritage development in Indonesia is the integration of creative industries with heritage sites. According to Valevičius & Levickaitė (2011), the growth of the creative economy, driven by cultural heritage, plays a significant role in national development (Valevičius & Levickaitė, 2011). Sites such as Borobudur Temple and Prambanan Temple have become vital tourist attractions, with tourism directly contributing to the local economy (Sari & Handoyo, 2024). However, as Alberts & Hazen (2010) highlights, managing the impacts of tourism on heritage sites is essential to maintaining their cultural and environmental integrity (Alberts & Hazen, 2010).

To optimize the development of these sites, the BPCB has undertaken various initiatives, including the establishment of zoning regulations for cultural heritage sites to balance their protection with tourism and other economic activities (Rosyadi, 2014). Site zoning divides heritage areas into core, buffer, and development zones, ensuring that the sites are protected from encroachment while also allowing for controlled development of tourism infrastructure (Gu et al., 2023). This zoning system helps to balance preservation with sustainable development, ensuring



that the economic benefits of tourism are maximized without compromising the historical integrity of the sites.

Community Participation in Cultural Heritage Preservation

Community involvement is a fundamental principle of cultural heritage management, as it ensures that the benefits of heritage preservation are shared at the local level. The Law No. 11 of 2010 explicitly encourages the participation of local communities in the identification, preservation, and management of cultural heritage (De Mulder & Meijer, 2012). Wibowo (2014) emphasizes that local communities are not only the stewards of cultural heritage but also key stakeholders in the development of heritage sites (Wibowo, 2014).

The BPCB has successfully implemented programs to empower local communities by training them in heritage management practices, including documentation, site maintenance, and disaster preparedness (Rosyadi, 2014). These initiatives are vital for integrating cultural heritage preservation into the social and economic fabric of local communities. Ginanjar (2023) report that community empowerment programs, such as tourism management and creative economy training, have been effective in boosting local participation and fostering a sense of ownership over cultural heritage (Ginanjar, 2023).

In addition, community-based tourism has become a successful model for integrating heritage preservation with local economic development. Mukunda & Vijay (2022) note that communities involved in heritage-based tourism benefit from increased income through local businesses like homestays, handicraft production, and guided tours (Mukunda & Vijay, 2022). This model supports the economic well-being of local residents while encouraging the preservation of cultural traditions and values.

Sustainability of Development and Utilization

The development of cultural heritage sites for tourism, particularly through integrating creative industries, is an effective strategy for generating economic benefits. However, managing the impacts of tourism remains a critical challenge. As Adie & Falk (2021) point out, overtourism can threaten the authenticity and sustainability of heritage sites, a phenomenon also observed at global sites like Petra (Adie & Falk, 2021; Syafrini et al., 2022). Sustainable tourism practices, such as those discussed by Bertacchini et al. (2022), must be integrated into heritage tourism strategies to minimize environmental damage and ensure the long-term viability of heritage sites (Bertacchini et al., 2022).

Role of Community Participation

Community involvement has proven to be an essential factor in the sustainability of heritage sites. The findings indicate that empowering local communities through education and training in heritage management fosters greater participation and a sense of ownership, contributing to the preservation of heritage. As noted by Kausar et al. (2024) and Sari & Handoyo (2024), community participation is vital for maintaining the intangible aspects of heritage (Kausar et al., 2024; Sari & Handoyo, 2024). Programs that encourage local participation in the management and development of cultural heritage sites are beneficial in both preserving heritage and promoting economic growth (van Donkersgoed, 2020). However, challenges remain in ensuring that all communities have the resources and capacity to manage these sites effectively, highlighting the need for continuous education and capacity-building efforts (Lucrezi et al., 2019).

Policy Challenges and Opportunities

Despite progress in cultural heritage management, policy frameworks require ongoing adaptation to address emerging challenges. The fluctuating performance of cultural heritage policies, as noted by Min (2025), underscores the need for a more coherent and consistent policy direction (Min, 2025). The Nara Document on Authenticity (1994) advocates for a

flexible approach to heritage conservation that incorporates local traditions and community involvement, providing a model for adapting policies in Indonesia (Stovel, 2008). Policies should integrate ecological, social, and economic considerations, balancing preservation with modern-day needs, as emphasized by (Johnston & Marwood, 2017).

Sustainable Management and Future Directions

The sustainability of cultural heritage sites depends on the effective integration of ecological, economic, and social considerations in their management. As Bertacchini et al. (2022) suggest, sustainable tourism practices must be adopted to minimize environmental damage and ensure the long-term viability of heritage sites (Bertacchini et al., 2022). Indonesia, with its rich cultural and natural heritage, has the potential to develop a sustainable tourism strategy that balances preservation with economic development (Hawkins, 2013).

Future research should focus on the impact of digital technologies on cultural heritage management. Škola et al. (2020) emphasize the role of digital tools in preserving and disseminating cultural heritage, especially in the context of virtual tourism and digital archiving (Škola et al., 2020). These technologies could provide innovative solutions for monitoring and conserving heritage sites while enhancing public engagement and education.

Discussion and Analysis

The analysis and discussion of the findings reveal both the progress and challenges in the management and preservation of cultural heritage in Indonesia. This section integrates the findings with current phenomena, global theories, and contextual relevance, particularly addressing the importance of sustainability, community involvement, and policy adaptation in heritage management. The findings show that while significant strides have been made, challenges such as climate change, urban sprawl, and looting still pose major risks to heritage preservation.

Firstly, the protection and preservation of cultural heritage in Indonesia, as demonstrated in the management strategies of the Cultural Heritage Preservation Centre (BPCB), reflect a solid institutional approach to heritage conservation. However, global climate change impacts, as seen in Anderson (2023) exacerbate the vulnerability of cultural sites to flooding, temperature fluctuations, and erosion (Anderson, 2023). Agapiou et al. (2015) argue that urban sprawl further complicates these challenges by encroaching on historically significant sites, contributing to the degradation of cultural landmarks (Agapiou et al., 2015). For instance, the Leaning Tower of Pisa is a testament to architectural resilience, but as the research suggests, many sites globally, including those in Indonesia, face similar threats from uncontrolled urban development (Syafrini et al., 2022). Thus, robust zoning regulations and risk assessment, as advocated by Chandra & Hum (2018), become paramount for mitigating these risks and preserving heritage integrity (Chandra & Hum, 2020).

Secondly, the development and utilization of cultural heritage sites, particularly through tourism, play an increasingly critical role in both the economy and public education. The concept of creative economy rooted in cultural heritage, proposed by Canaan (2020) is instrumental in transforming heritage sites into sustainable economic assets (Canaan, 2019). The use of cultural heritage tourism to promote local businesses and tourism is especially prevalent in Borobudur and Prambanan, which attract international visitors and contribute significantly to the local economy (Sari & Handoyo, 2024). However, as Adie & Falk (2021) highlight, tourism can sometimes lead to overtourism, which jeopardizes the authenticity and sustainability of heritage sites (Adie & Falk, 2021). This phenomenon is not unique to Indonesia but is seen in other global sites such as Petra, where looting and vandalism have emerged as consequences of high tourist footfall (Syafrini et al., 2022). The management of visitor impact, as discussed by Timothy (2011), needs to be a key consideration in the development strategies for

cultural tourism, aiming to balance preservation with public engagement and economic development (Timothy, 2011).

The role of local communities in the management of cultural heritage has proven to be a critical factor in ensuring the sustainability of these sites. Public participation, as mandated in Indonesia's Cultural Heritage Law No. 11 of 2010, encourages local communities to actively engage in the protection and management of heritage sites. This approach is well-aligned with Kausar et al. (2024) and Sari & Handoyo (2024), who emphasize that community involvement is essential for ensuring the continuity of cultural practices and maintaining the intangible aspects of heritage (Kausar et al., 2024; Sari & Handoyo, 2024). Programs empowering communities to report newly discovered heritage sites, as practiced by BPCB, strengthen local stewardship and preserve the sites' authenticity and value (van Donkersgoed, 2020). Mishra & Mishra (2023) and Patluang (2013) argue that integrating community-driven initiatives in tourism and the creative industries fosters a sense of pride and ownership, making the management of heritage more sustainable and inclusive (Mishra & Mishra, 2023; Patluang, 2013). However, challenges remain, as not all communities have the resources or knowledge to effectively manage heritage sites. Lucrezi et al. (2019) suggest that continuous education and capacity building are needed to bridge these gaps and ensure the longevity of local involvement in heritage conservation (Lucrezi et al., 2019).

Finally, the policy frameworks governing cultural heritage management in Indonesia, while comprehensive, require constant adaptation to address new challenges. The Global Strategy for a Representative, Balanced, and Credible World Heritage List (UNESCO, 1994) underlines the importance of rethinking heritage conservation strategies in light of emerging threats such as climate change, urbanization, and the commercialization of heritage. As Bertacchini et al. (2022) argue, policies should not only focus on the physical preservation of

heritage sites but should also include social, economic, and ecological considerations in the planning and development stages (Bertacchini et al., 2022). The ongoing need for interdisciplinary research, as advocated by Johnston & Marwood (2017) is crucial for developing more nuanced and integrated policies that balance conservation with contemporary needs (Johnston & Marwood, 2017).

In conclusion, while Indonesia has made substantial progress in the preservation and utilization of its cultural heritage, much work remains to be done. The challenges of urbanization, overtourism, and climate change necessitate a more holistic, community-centered approach to heritage management. The integration of sustainable tourism strategies, digital tools, and creative industries can significantly enhance the conservation efforts and provide sustainable economic benefits. As Silverman & Ruggles (2007) note, cultural heritage management should be seen not just as an academic or preservationist concern, but as a key component of national development, contributing to both social welfare and economic prosperity (Loulanski, 2006).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study highlights the significant progress made in the management and preservation of cultural heritage sites in Indonesia, emphasizing the importance of a balanced approach that integrates protection, development, utilization, and community involvement. The findings underline the necessity of sustainable strategies to address the challenges posed by urban sprawl, climate change, and overtourism. Institutional frameworks like the Cultural Heritage Preservation Centre (BPCB) have made substantial strides in conserving cultural heritage, but continuous efforts to engage local communities and adapt to emerging challenges remain crucial.

The development of cultural heritage-based tourism, alongside the strengthening of educational programs, plays a key role in enhancing both economic and social benefits. However, the study also reveals gaps in the effectiveness of disaster mitigation strategies and the need for further interdisciplinary research to

refine policies and enhance the resilience of cultural heritage sites.

For future research, it is recommended to focus on the role of digital technologies, particularly virtual tourism and digital archiving, in enhancing the sustainability of cultural heritage management. Investigating community-driven conservation models and their long-term effectiveness in balancing preservation with local economic development could provide valuable insights. Additionally, research on the impacts of climate change on vulnerable heritage sites, particularly coastal areas, should be prioritized to inform adaptive strategies and disaster preparedness plans. These areas will be pivotal in creating more resilient and inclusive cultural heritage preservation policies in the future.

References

- Abiodun, D., Hamzat, L., & Bamidele, A. (2021). Advancing financial literacy through behavioral analytics and custom digital tools for inclusive economic empowerment. *Int J Eng Technol Res Manag*, 5(10), 130.
- Adie, B. A., & Falk, M. (2021). Residents' perception of cultural heritage in terms of job creation and overtourism in Europe. *Tourism Economics*, 27(6), 1185–1201.
- Agapiou, A., Alexakis, D. D., Lysandrou, V., Sarris, A., Cuca, B., Themistocleous, K., & Hadjimitsis, D. G. (2015). Impact of urban sprawl to cultural heritage monuments: The case study of Paphos area in Cyprus. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 16(5), 671–680.
- Alberts, H. C., & Hazen, H. D. (2010). Maintaining authenticity and integrity at cultural world heritage sites. *Geographical Review*, 100(1), 56–73.
- Amineddoleh, L. A. (2015). Cultural heritage vandalism and looting: the role of terrorist organizations, public institutions and private collectors. *Santander Art and Culture Law Review*, 1(2), 27–62.
- Anderson, K. (2023). The impact of increased flooding caused by climate change on heritage in England and North Wales, and possible preventative measures: what could/should be done? *Built Heritage*, 7(1), 7.
- Aryeetey, E. (1994). *Supply and demand for finance of small enterprises in Ghana* (Issue 251). World Bank Publications.
- Audretsch, D. B., Aronica, M., Belitski, M., Caddemi, D., & Piacentino, D. (2025). The impact of government financial aid and digital tools on firm survival during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Small Business Economics*, 1–24.
- Ayyagari, M., Beck, T., & Demircug-Kunt, A. (2007). Small and medium enterprises across the globe. *Small Business Economics*, 29(4), 415–434.
- Bartik, A. W., Bertrand, M., Cullen, Z., Glaeser, E. L., Luca, M., & Stanton, C. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on small business outcomes and expectations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(30), 17656–17666.
- Beck, T., Demircug-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2005). SMEs, growth, and poverty: Cross-country evidence. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 10(3), 199–229.
- Beglaryan, M., & Shakhmuradyan, G. (2020). *The impact of COVID-19 on small and medium-sized enterprises in Armenia: Evidence from a labor force survey*.
- Bertacchini, E. E., Pazzola, G., & Puletti, F. (2022). Urban alternative cultural production in Turin: An ecological community approach. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 29(3), 350–368.
- Bosher, L., Kim, D., Okubo, T., Chmutina, K., & Jigyasu, R. (2020). Dealing with multiple hazards and threats on cultural heritage sites: an assessment of 80 case studies. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 29(1), 109–128.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.
- Canaan, R. P. (2019). Creative Economy and Cultural Heritage: A Proposal to Tourism Development and Appreciation of Local Identity. *Strategic Design Research Journal*, 12(2).
- Chandra, D., & Hum, S. (2020). *Mitigasi Bencana dalam Konteks Pelestarian Cagar Budaya*. Diunduh.
- Chouki, M., Talea, M., Okar, C., & Chroqui, R. (2020). Barriers to information technology adoption within small and medium enterprises: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 17(01), 2050007.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.



- Daulay, U. D., Lubis, R., & Gautama, B. (2023). The Influence of Fintech Usage and Financial Literacy on The Performance of MSME with Digital Marketing as The Moderating Variable. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR)*, 7(1), 184–194.
- De Mulder, R., & Meijer, A. (2012). From Trias to Tetras Politica: The Need for Additional Checks and Balances. Illustrated by Immigration Law. In *Public Administration in the Information Age: Revisited* (pp. 36–45). IOS Press.
- Development, O. for E. C.-O. and. (2021). *The digital transformation of SMEs*. OECD Publishing Paris.
- Fairclough, N. (2013). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language*. Routledge.
- Gaglio, C., Kraemer-Mbula, E., & Lorenz, E. (2022). The effects of digital transformation on innovation and productivity: Firm-level evidence of South African manufacturing micro and small enterprises. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 182, 121785.
- Ginanjar, R. (2023). Community empowerment in tourism development: concepts and implications. *The Eastasouth Management and Business*, 1(03), 111–119.
- Gu, X., Xiong, K., Zhang, J., & Chen, H. (2023). A comprehensive analysis on integrity conservation of world natural heritage site and buffer zone tourism development with an implication for karst heritage sites. *Geoheritage*, 15(1), 8.
- Gurtoo, A. (2009). Policy support for informal sector entrepreneurship: micro-enterprises in India. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 14(02), 181–194.
- Hawkins, D. E. (2013). Sustainable tourism competitiveness clusters: application to World Heritage sites network development in Indonesia. In *Cultural and heritage tourism in Asia and the Pacific* (pp. 291–304). Routledge.
- Ivanova, M., & Slavova, G. (2022). Resilience through diversification: the case of agriculture and forestbased sector in Bulgaria. *Crisis Management and Safety Foresight in Forest-Based Sectot and SMS's Operating in the Global Environment*, 131.
- Johnston, R., & Marwood, K. (2017). Action heritage: Research, communities, social justice. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 23(9), 816–831.
- Kausar, D. R., Damanik, J., & Tanudirjo, D. (2024). Borobudur as priority tourism destination: how political economy affects world cultural heritage site management and heritage preservation. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1366(1), 12057.
- Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology*. Sage publications.
- Loulanski, T. (2006). Cultural heritage in socio-economic development: local and global perspectives. *Environments*, 34(2), 51.
- Lu, P. (2025). Digital Transformation for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs): Significance, Challenges, and Pathways to Sustainable Value Creation. *Authorea Preprints*.
- Lucrezi, S., Esfehiani, M. H., Ferretti, E., & Cerrano, C. (2019). The effects of stakeholder education and capacity building in marine protected areas: A case study from southern Mozambique. *Marine Policy*, 108, 103645.
- Marolt, M., Lenart, G., Kljajić Borštnar, M., & Pucihar, A. (2024). Exploring digital transformation journey among micro, small-, and medium-sized enterprises. *Systems*, 13(1), 1.
- Min, W. (2025). A scientometric review of cultural heritage management and sustainable development through evolutionary perspectives. *Npj Heritage Science*, 13(1), 215.
- Mishra, S., & Mishra, J. M. (2023). Self-help groups and community-based tourism: A study of interrelationship and convolutions. *Journal of Tourism*, 24(1), 71.
- Moleong, L. J. (2019). Moleong,” Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif Edisi Revisi”. *Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. PT. Remaja Rosda Karya*, 58.
- Mujianto, M., Hartoyo, H., Nurmalina, R., & Yusuf, E. Z. (2023). The unraveling loyalty model of traditional retail to suppliers for business sustainability in the digital transformation era: Insight from MSMEs in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2827.
- Mukunda, B. G., & Vijay, G. (2022). Prospects and Challenges of Community Based Tourism in Heritage and Sculpture Village Shivarapattana. *ECS Transactions*, 107(1), 4421.
- Ndiege, J. R. A., Herselman, M. E., & Flowerday, S. V. (2012). *Information and communication technologies within small and medium enterprises in developing economies*.
- Omowole, B. M., Urefe, O., Mokogwu, C., & Ewim, S. E. (2024). Integrating fintech and innovation in microfinance: Transforming credit accessibility for small businesses. *International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews*, 3(1), 90–100.



- Patluang, K. (2013). *Innovation in Creative Industries: Comparative Case Studies of Community Organisations in Thailand's Cultural Heritage Industries*.
- Radwan, I. (2010). Financial Sector Liberalization and Challenges of Real Sector Financing in Nigeria: The World Bank Perspective. *Economic and Financial Review*.
- Rosyadi, K. (2014). *Analisis Pengelolaan Dan Pelestarian Cagar Budaya Sebagai Wujud Penyelenggaraan Urusan Wajib Pemerintahan Daerah (Studi Pada Pengelolaan Dan Pelestarian Situs Majapahit Kecamatan Trowulan Kabupaten Mojokerto)*. Brawijaya University.
- Rupeika-Apoga, R., & Petrovska, K. (2022). Barriers to sustainable digital transformation in micro-, small-, and medium-sized enterprises. *Sustainability*, 14(20), 13558.
- Sari, M. U., & Handoyo, E. (2024). Socio-Economic Impact of Borobudur Temple Super Priority Tourism Development on The People of Borobudur Sub District. *Komunitas: International Journal of Indonesian Society and Culture*, 16(2), 199–206.
- Sendawula, K., Turyakira, P., & Bananuka, J. (2018). *Adoption of sustainable entrepreneurship in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in developing countries: literature review*.
- Škola, F., Rizvić, S., Cozza, M., Barbieri, L., Bruno, F., Skarlatos, D., & Liarokapis, F. (2020). Virtual reality with 360-video storytelling in cultural heritage: Study of presence, engagement, and immersion. *Sensors*, 20(20), 5851.
- Sopandi, E., Amalia, F. R., Tresnawaty, N., & Rahmani, S. (2025). Adaptation Strategies of Micro Enterprises Amid Global Economic Uncertainty. *The Journal of Academic Science*, 2(2), 675–684.
- Stovel, H. (2008). Origins and influence of the Nara document on authenticity. *APT Bulletin*, 39(2–3), 9–17.
- Syafrini, D., Nurdin, M. F., Sugandi, Y. S., & Miko, A. (2022). Transformation of a coal mining city into a cultured mining heritage tourism City in Sawahlunto, Indonesia: A Response to the Threat of Becoming a Ghost Town. *Tourism Planning & Development*, 19(4), 296–315.
- Timothy, D. J. (2011). *Cultural heritage and tourism: An introduction* (Vol. 4). Channel view publications.
- UNESCO. (1994). *Global Strategy for a Representative, Balanced and Credible World Heritage List*. UNESCO World Heritage Centre Paris.
- Ursache, M. (2015). Tourism—significant driver shaping a destinations heritage. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 188, 130–137.
- Valevičius, M., & Levickaitė, R. (2011). Lighting of cultural heritage as a tool to exhibit historical architectural values in the context of creative industries (The case of Ukmergė). *Limes: Borderland Studies*, 4(2), 162–176.
- van Donkersgoed, J. (2020). *Empowering Community: Conserving Cultural Heritage Through the Cultural Landscape—approach in the Banda Islands, Indonesia*. Rutgers The State University of New Jersey, School of Graduate Studies.
- Wibowo, A. B. (2014). Strategi Pelestarian Benda/Situs Cagar Budaya Berbasis Masyarakat: Kasus Pelestarian Benda/Situs Cagar Budaya Gampong Pande Kecamatan Kutaraja Banda Aceh Provinsi Aceh. *Jurnal Konservasi Cagar Budaya*, 8(1), 58–71.

