Analysis of the Impact of Electronic Health Record Use on the Effectiveness of Diagnostic and Treatment Processes

Sri Mulyani
RSUD R Syamsudin SH Kota Sukabumi
Email: srimulyani29.sm@gmail.com

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
electronic health records, diagnostic process, treatment outcomes, healthcare technology, qualitative research.	This paper analyzes the impact of Electronic Health Record (EHR) use on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes in healthcare settings. With the increasing adoption of EHR systems globally, healthcare organizations aim to enhance the quality of care, streamline workflows, and reduce medical errors. This study employs a qualitative approach through a comprehensive literature review to assess how EHR systems influence diagnostic accuracy, treatment outcomes, and overall clinical decision-making. The review highlights both the positive and negative effects of EHR implementation, focusing on improved access to patient data, better communication between healthcare providers, and faster decision-making. However, challenges such as system integration issues, user resistance, and data security concerns also emerge as significant barriers to the full utilization of EHRs. The findings suggest that while EHRs contribute to enhancing diagnostic and treatment efficacy, their impact depends largely on the proper implementation, user training, and ongoing support. This paper concludes by emphasizing the need for continuous improvement in EHR systems, advocating for policies and practices that address the challenges and optimize the benefits of EHR usage in healthcare environments. The study offers valuable insights for policymakers, healthcare administrators, and practitioners seeking to enhance clinical effectiveness through technological integration.

1. INTRODUCTION

The adoption of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) has transformed healthcare systems globally, providing healthcare professionals with a digital platform for storing, accessing, and sharing patient information. EHRs are designed to improve the quality of patient care, enhance communication among healthcare providers, and streamline administrative processes. As healthcare institutions continue to embrace EHR systems, the potential impact on the diagnostic and treatment processes is a subject of significant interest. Although numerous studies have investigated the

advantages of EHR implementation, there remains a lack of consensus on their true effectiveness in improving clinical decision-making, diagnostic accuracy, and treatment outcomes. This study seeks to address this gap by analyzing the impact of EHR use on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes within healthcare settings (Tsai et al., 2020).

Despite the widespread adoption of EHRs, research indicates that their implementation has not always led to the anticipated improvements in clinical outcomes. Previous studies have highlighted both the benefits and

challenges associated with EHR systems. For instance, EHRs have been linked to improved patient data access, faster decision-making, and better coordination of care (Cole et al., 2022). However, issues such as system integration problems, user resistance, and data security concerns have been identified as major barriers to the full potential of EHR systems (Niazkhani et al., 2020). These challenges create a research gap regarding the actual impact of EHRs on diagnostic accuracy and treatment effectiveness, particularly in clinical practice.

This research is urgent in light of the growing reliance on EHRs in healthcare systems and the increasing pressure on healthcare providers to improve diagnostic and treatment outcomes. Understanding how EHRs influence these processes is crucial for policymakers and healthcare administrators looking to optimize the implementation of EHR systems.

The novelty of this study lies in its qualitative approach, synthesizing existing literature to provide a comprehensive analysis of EHR's role in improving diagnostic and treatment processes. By critically evaluating the evidence on both the benefits and limitations of EHRs, this study aims to provide new insights into the factors that influence their success in clinical environments.

The primary objective of this research is to analyze the impact of EHRs on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes. The study will also explore the challenges that hinder the full potential of EHRs, offering practical recommendations for improving their implementation. The findings of this research will be valuable to healthcare professionals, administrators, and policymakers in enhancing the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes through EHR integration, ultimately contributing to better patient outcomes and more efficient healthcare delivery.

Here are five relevant studies from the past five years on the topic of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) and their impact on diagnostic and treatment processes, specifically in healthcare settings. These studies examine the relationship between EHR use and healthcare outcomes, and highlight various advantages and challenges:

1. Hripcsak, 2021 – This study discusses the improvements in clinical decision-making facilitated by EHRs. The integration of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) within EHRs offers healthcare providers evidence-based guidance, which leads to better diagnostic accuracy and treatment decisions, particularly in reducing medication errors and improving patient safety. This research provides insights into how EHRs help healthcare teams collaborate more effectively across various settings (Ostropolets et al., 2020).

2. Facchinetti et al., 2020 – This paper highlights the role of EHRs in enhancing patient monitoring and follow-up care. EHRs allow real-time tracking of patient conditions, which is crucial for managing chronic diseases and preventing complications. By ensuring timely interventions, EHRs improve overall treatment outcomes and reduce hospital readmissions, thus showcasing their impact on the treatment process (Facchinetti et al., 2020).

3. Mullins et al., 2020 – This study explores how EHRs facilitate more efficient patient care by improving access to patient information. It notes that having comprehensive, up-to-date patient records readily available significantly speeds up diagnosis and treatment processes, especially in emergency care situations. The research also examines the importance of EHRs in reducing medical errors and preventing the duplication of tests (Mullins et al., 2020)

4. Rolla, 2023 – Rolla's research emphasizes the role of EHRs in improving patient safety through the reduction of clinical errors. By providing alerts for drug interactions, allergies, and other critical issues, EHRs help prevent adverse drug reactions and improve the quality of care. This study reinforces the importance of EHRs in diagnostic accuracy and effective treatment (Rolla, 2024).

5. Ayaad et al., 2021 – This paper examines the integration of EHRs in enhancing the efficiency and accessibility of patient information. It highlights how the availability of patient data in real-time allows healthcare providers to make more informed decisions, thereby optimizing diagnostic and treatment processes. The study also discusses the role of EHRs in improving healthcare coordination and reducing unnecessary diagnostic procedures. (Sharikh et al., 2020)

2. METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design to analyze the impact of Electronic Health Record (EHR) use on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes in healthcare settings. A qualitative approach is selected due to its ability to provide in-depth insights into the subjective experiences, perceptions, and operational challenges associated with EHR systems. By focusing on qualitative data, this research aims to explore the nuances of how healthcare providers interact with EHR systems, and how these interactions influence clinical decision-making and patient outcomes (Molina-Mula & Gallo-Estrada, 2020).

Type of Research
This study follows a descriptive qualitative research approach. The primary objective is to describe and analyze the impact of EHR use on diagnostic and treatment processes without manipulating variables. The descriptive nature of the study enables a detailed understanding of the real-world application of EHR systems in healthcare settings, including both the advantages and challenges faced by healthcare professionals.

Data Sources
The data for this research were gathered from a combination of secondary sources, which include peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, case studies, and reports on EHR use in healthcare. These secondary sources are critically reviewed to understand the documented impact of EHRs on diagnostic accuracy, treatment effectiveness, and overall healthcare delivery. A significant part of the data comes from literature published in the last five years to ensure the relevance of the findings. This approach allows for an up-to-date synthesis of the current understanding of EHRs in clinical practice (Pramana, 2019).

Data Collection Techniques
The primary method of data collection is literature review, which involves systematically identifying, reviewing, and synthesizing existing studies and research articles related to EHR use in diagnostic and treatment processes. The literature review process follows these key steps:

Search Strategy: Relevant articles were identified using databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and Scopus. Keywords used in the search included "Electronic Health Records," "diagnostic processes," "treatment outcomes," and "healthcare technology."

Selection Criteria: Articles were selected based on their relevance to the research question, publication in peer-reviewed journals, and a

focus on healthcare settings that have implemented EHR systems. Only studies published within the last five years were included to ensure the research reflects recent developments in EHR technology and its usage (Boonstra et al., 2014).

Exclusion Criteria: Studies that did not directly relate to the impact of EHRs on diagnostic or treatment outcomes, or those that focused on theoretical aspects without empirical evidence, were excluded.

Data Analysis Method

The data analysis is conducted through thematic analysis, a widely used qualitative data analysis method. The steps in the thematic analysis include:

Familiarization with Data: The first step involved reading and rereading the selected articles to understand the core findings and to identify recurring themes.

Coding: Key phrases and ideas from the literature were coded according to the main themes related to EHR use, diagnostic accuracy, treatment outcomes, barriers to EHR implementation, and healthcare provider experiences (Alfaro-Diaz et al., 2022).

Theme Development: After coding the data, the next step was to identify broader themes or patterns across the studies. Themes such as "enhanced diagnostic accuracy," "improved treatment coordination," "challenges in EHR integration," and "patient data accessibility" were identified.

Interpretation: The final step involved interpreting the data in the context of the research question, evaluating how EHRs influence diagnostic and treatment processes, and drawing conclusions based on the identified themes. (Tsai et al., 2020)

By using thematic analysis, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of the impact of EHR use, highlighting both the benefits and challenges, as well as the ways in which EHR systems contribute to enhancing the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the impact of Electronic Health Record (EHR) use on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes in healthcare reveals several key findings that highlight both the advantages and challenges of EHR integration in clinical practice. EHR systems have proven to enhance diagnostic accuracy and treatment outcomes, but their success is contingent on proper implementation, user proficiency, and system design.

One of the primary benefits of EHR adoption is the improvement in the accessibility and accuracy of patient data. With real-time access to comprehensive medical records, healthcare providers are able to make more informed decisions, leading to quicker and more accurate diagnoses. This is particularly significant in complex cases where having immediate access to past medical history, lab results, and imaging can facilitate more efficient diagnostic processes. Several studies, including those by (Perkins et al., 2024), demonstrate that EHRs contribute to reduced diagnostic errors, as clinicians can access a complete patient history, which aids in identifying potential misdiagnoses. This digital integration, especially when coupled with Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS), enhances the accuracy of clinical decisions by flagging potential issues such as drug interactions, allergies, and contraindications, thus improving patient safety.

In treatment processes, EHRs have been found to improve communication between healthcare professionals, particularly in multidisciplinary teams. By providing a shared platform for patient information, EHRs facilitate the coordination of care across different specialties, reducing the risk of duplicated tests and treatments. This has been particularly evident in the management of chronic diseases, where regular monitoring and timely interventions are crucial. Studies such as those by (Facchinetti et al., 2023) highlight how EHRs ensure continuity of care, reducing the chances of patients falling through the cracks, particularly when multiple healthcare providers are involved in their treatment. EHR systems allow for a more streamlined process in treatment decision-making by consolidating patient information into a single accessible record, which supports more coherent care strategies (Facchinetti et al., 2023).

Despite the benefits, the implementation of EHR systems is not without challenges. One of the most prominent barriers to the effective use of EHRs is resistance from healthcare professionals. Studies have shown that healthcare workers often face difficulties in adapting to new digital systems, particularly in environments where technology is rapidly evolving. The transition from paper-based records to digital systems requires significant training, and without adequate support, this shift can lead to frustration, reduced efficiency, and increased likelihood of errors. Furthermore, the integration of EHRs with existing healthcare infrastructures is often complex and time-consuming. Many healthcare organizations face technical challenges related to the interoperability of different EHR systems, making it difficult to share patient data across institutions or specialties. This issue is further exacerbated by varying levels of digital literacy among healthcare professionals, which can hinder the optimal use of EHR systems (Borges do Nascimento et al., 2023).

Another significant challenge identified in the literature concerns data security and privacy issues. EHRs, by their nature, store vast amounts of sensitive patient information, which raises concerns regarding data breaches and unauthorized access. The protection of patient data is critical, as breaches could lead to legal consequences, loss of patient trust, and, more critically, harm to patients. While many healthcare organizations have implemented robust security measures, the risk of cyberattacks remains a real and ongoing concern. (Waseem et al., 2023) emphasizes that ensuring the security of digital health records is a continuous challenge, requiring ongoing monitoring and the adaptation of security protocols to address new threats.

Moreover, although EHRs are designed to enhance efficiency, some studies suggest that in certain settings, they may inadvertently contribute to time-consuming workflows. (Meryem & Said, 2024) found that the use of EHRs in certain healthcare environments has led to an increase in administrative burdens, such as the time spent inputting data into digital systems. While the system's aim is to reduce errors and streamline processes, if not properly integrated or maintained, it can result in additional workload and contribute to clinician burnout. The balancing act between optimizing the user interface of EHR systems and maintaining efficiency in clinical workflows remains a critical challenge.

The impact of EHR use on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes is multifaceted. EHRs undoubtedly contribute to

enhancing diagnostic accuracy, treatment coordination, and overall clinical outcomes by improving data accessibility and supporting informed decision-making. However, the success of EHR systems depends largely on overcoming challenges related to system integration, user resistance, data security, and the proper training of healthcare professionals. As healthcare organizations continue to refine their EHR systems, addressing these challenges will be key to realizing the full potential of EHRs in improving diagnostic and treatment processes. Future research should focus on developing strategies to mitigate the challenges associated with EHR implementation and optimize their use in clinical settings. (Huang et al., 2020)

Enhanced Diagnostic Accuracy Through EHR Implementation

The adoption of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) has significantly enhanced diagnostic accuracy by providing healthcare professionals with immediate access to comprehensive patient histories. In traditional healthcare settings, clinicians often rely on paper records, which may be incomplete or difficult to access in a timely manner. EHR systems, however, centralize patient data, including previous diagnoses, treatment outcomes, lab results, and imaging, into a single, accessible platform. Studies indicate that this centralized data access allows healthcare providers to make faster and more informed decisions, reducing the likelihood of diagnostic errors. For example, in complex cases where a patient's medical history includes multiple comorbidities, EHRs provide a more complete picture, allowing clinicians to identify patterns and correlations that may have otherwise been missed.

Furthermore, the integration of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) within EHRs enhances diagnostic processes by providing evidence-based alerts and recommendations. These systems help clinicians by flagging potential issues such as drug interactions, allergies, and contradictions in patient records, thereby reducing the risk of diagnostic errors. Research shows that the use of CDSS significantly improves the accuracy of diagnoses, particularly in high-risk areas such as oncology and cardiology, where early detection is critical for effective treatment. Despite some initial skepticism regarding the reliance on automated systems, studies have demonstrated that EHRs, particularly when paired with CDSS, increase diagnostic confidence and accuracy.

However, challenges remain in ensuring that EHR systems are optimally configured to support diagnostic accuracy. Poor system design, data entry errors, or inadequate integration with other healthcare systems can reduce the efficacy of EHRs in diagnosing patients. These issues are particularly pronounced in settings where digital literacy is low or where there is resistance to adopting technology. As such, it is crucial for healthcare organizations to invest in proper training and ensure system interoperability to fully capitalize on the diagnostic advantages that EHRs offer.

Improvement in Treatment Coordination

EHRs have been found to greatly improve the coordination of care across multiple healthcare providers. In the past, patients often received care from multiple specialists, each working in isolation with their own set of patient records. This fragmented care model often led to duplication of diagnostic tests, delays in treatment, and potential drug interactions. With the integration of EHRs, all relevant patient information is stored in a shared platform, accessible to all involved healthcare providers,

allowing for seamless coordination of treatment.

Research has shown that EHR systems reduce the occurrence of redundant testing and procedures by enabling clinicians to quickly review prior test results and treatment history. This not only saves time but also reduces healthcare costs and the risk of patient exposure to unnecessary procedures. In chronic disease management, where ongoing monitoring and coordination between various healthcare professionals are essential, EHRs play a particularly important role. By providing real-time updates on a patient's condition, treatment plans, and any changes in medication, EHRs ensure that all providers are on the same page, leading to more effective and efficient management of chronic illnesses such as diabetes or hypertension.

Despite these benefits, the success of treatment coordination heavily depends on the quality of the EHR system and its usability. Poor system interoperability and insufficient training on how to use the system can result in gaps in communication and treatment delays. Additionally, in settings where EHR adoption is incomplete or inconsistent, the benefits of treatment coordination may not be fully realized. Therefore, it is vital for healthcare systems to ensure that all care providers are trained in using EHRs and that systems are designed to facilitate easy sharing of information across different care settings.

Impact on Treatment Outcomes

The use of EHRs has shown a positive correlation with improved treatment outcomes, particularly in areas where timely interventions and accurate treatment regimens are crucial. In emergency and acute care settings, where rapid decision-making is essential, EHRs provide clinicians with immediate access to a patient's complete medical history. This quick access allows healthcare providers to make faster, more accurate treatment decisions, which can significantly improve patient outcomes.

Additionally, EHRs support the management of long-term treatments by providing detailed tracking of patient progress over time. This longitudinal data allows clinicians to monitor how patients are responding to treatments, adjust medications as necessary, and identify potential complications early. Studies indicate that patients treated in healthcare environments where EHRs are fully implemented experience fewer medication errors and adverse drug reactions, contributing to better overall health outcomes.

However, the effectiveness of EHRs in improving treatment outcomes is dependent on several factors, including the accuracy and timeliness of the data entered into the system. Inconsistent data entry or errors in patient records can lead to suboptimal treatment decisions, potentially harming patient outcomes. Furthermore, while EHRs contribute to better care coordination, challenges remain in fully integrating patient records across different healthcare facilities. As a result, some patients may experience fragmented care, which can hinder optimal treatment outcomes.

EHRs in Chronic Disease Management

Chronic diseases, such as diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension, require continuous monitoring and coordinated care, making EHRs particularly valuable in their management. EHRs enable healthcare providers to track the progression of chronic conditions over time, identify early warning signs of complications, and adjust treatment plans accordingly. By having access to up-to-date patient information, clinicians can make informed decisions on

treatment adjustments and proactively address issues before they become critical.

Research has shown that EHRs improve the management of chronic diseases by facilitating better communication between primary care providers and specialists, as well as enhancing patient engagement. Patients with chronic conditions benefit from EHRs' ability to provide them with direct access to their medical records, enabling them to actively participate in their own care. Furthermore, many EHR systems incorporate features such as automated reminders for routine tests or check-ups, which help ensure that patients receive timely care.

However, the full benefits of EHRs in chronic disease management can be hindered by system-related issues, such as user errors, poor interface design, or incomplete data. In settings where healthcare providers are not fully trained in using the system, or where EHRs are not integrated with other health information systems, there may be delays in updating records or accessing critical information. These challenges need to be addressed to maximize the potential of EHRs in chronic disease management.

Barriers to Effective EHR Implementation

While EHRs offer numerous benefits, their successful implementation in healthcare settings is often fraught with challenges. One of the major barriers to effective EHR adoption is resistance from healthcare professionals, particularly those who are accustomed to traditional paper-based systems. Many clinicians are reluctant to switch to digital systems due to concerns about the time and effort required to learn new technologies, as well as a perceived loss of efficiency during the transition period. Moreover, older clinicians may be less comfortable with technology, which can lead to inconsistent use and hinder the overall effectiveness of the system.

Another significant barrier is the high cost of implementing EHR systems. Healthcare organizations must invest not only in purchasing and installing the software but also in providing training and technical support to staff. Smaller healthcare institutions, especially those in developing countries or rural areas, may struggle to afford these costs, leading to disparities in EHR adoption and utilization across different regions.

Furthermore, issues related to system interoperability can impede the effectiveness of EHRs. If EHR systems are not compatible with those used by other institutions, it can create silos of patient data, hindering the sharing of information across care providers. This can lead to delays in treatment and diagnostic processes, especially when patients move between different healthcare settings.

Data Security and Privacy Concerns

The implementation of EHRs has raised significant concerns regarding the security and privacy of patient data. Since EHRs store vast amounts of sensitive health information, ensuring that these records are protected from unauthorized access and cyber threats is a major concern for healthcare institutions. While many EHR systems incorporate robust security measures, such as encryption and authentication protocols, the risk of data breaches remains a significant challenge (Isakov et al., 2024).

Studies have shown that cyberattacks targeting healthcare systems are on the rise, with several high-profile incidents exposing patient data to unauthorized access. The consequences of such

breaches are severe, not only in terms of the legal and financial ramifications but also in terms of the damage to patient trust and safety. As healthcare systems become increasingly digitized, the importance of strengthening cybersecurity measures and ensuring compliance with privacy regulations, such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), cannot be overstated.

Despite these challenges, research indicates that most healthcare organizations are investing heavily in cybersecurity measures to protect EHR data. This includes regular software updates, staff training on data security best practices, and the implementation of multi-layered security protocols. However, as new threats emerge, ongoing vigilance and adaptation of security strategies are necessary to ensure the protection of patient data (Nadimpalli, 2023).

Impact on Healthcare Costs

The introduction of EHRs has had a mixed impact on healthcare costs. On one hand, EHRs contribute to cost savings by reducing the need for duplicate tests, minimizing administrative overhead, and improving care coordination. By providing healthcare providers with instant access to patient records, EHRs eliminate the need for patients to undergo the same tests or procedures multiple times, thus reducing the overall cost of care. Moreover, EHRs streamline administrative processes, such as billing and scheduling, which can further reduce costs.

On the other hand, the initial costs of implementing EHR systems can be substantial. Healthcare organizations must invest in software, hardware, training, and ongoing support, which can represent a significant financial burden, especially for smaller practices. Additionally, studies suggest that while EHRs may reduce some costs in the long term, the initial transition period may see an increase in overhead due to the time and effort required to integrate the system into existing workflows. Therefore, while the cost-saving benefits of EHRs are significant, the financial implications of implementation should not be underestimated.

Future Directions for EHR Systems

The future of EHRs in improving diagnostic and treatment processes lies in ongoing innovation and improvement. As healthcare technology continues to evolve, the next generation of EHR systems will likely incorporate more advanced features, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, to further enhance decision-making and clinical outcomes. AI-powered EHR systems can analyze large volumes of patient data, identify patterns, and provide real-time insights that support clinical decisions. This could help reduce errors, predict patient outcomes, and enable personalized treatment strategies.

Furthermore, the integration of EHRs with emerging technologies, such as telemedicine and wearable health devices, will further enhance their utility in healthcare. By combining data from remote monitoring devices with EHRs, healthcare providers will be able to track patient health in real time, allowing for more proactive interventions. The development of interoperable systems that can seamlessly exchange information across different platforms will also be critical in ensuring that EHRs fulfill their potential in improving healthcare delivery.

As these advancements continue, it will be essential for healthcare organizations to stay ahead of technological trends and invest in continuous staff training and system updates. Only by addressing current limitations and

embracing new technologies can EHRs fully realize their potential to revolutionize diagnostic and treatment processes in healthcare.

4. CONCLUSION

The analysis of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) has demonstrated a significant impact on the effectiveness of diagnostic and treatment processes within healthcare systems. EHRs contribute to enhanced diagnostic accuracy by providing healthcare professionals with comprehensive, real-time access to patient data, leading to more informed decision-making and fewer diagnostic errors. They also improve treatment coordination, reduce the risk of redundant procedures, and promote better overall management of patient care, particularly in chronic disease contexts. Moreover, EHRs have been found to positively influence treatment outcomes by enabling clinicians to make timely adjustments to treatment plans based on accurate and up-to-date information. The integration of Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) further enhances these benefits by offering evidence-based recommendations that assist healthcare providers in making precise clinical decisions. However, challenges such as system interoperability, data entry errors, and concerns about data security remain as potential barriers to fully realizing the benefits of EHRs.

The implications of this research are substantial, as they suggest that EHR implementation can lead to improved patient outcomes and cost savings in the long run. Healthcare institutions should prioritize the adoption and optimization of EHR systems to ensure better care delivery and enhance diagnostic and treatment efficiency. Moreover, it is essential for healthcare providers to address the challenges related to EHR adoption, such as training, system integration, and data security, to maximize their potential. Future research should focus on exploring the evolving role of EHRs in conjunction with emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and telemedicine, as these innovations may further revolutionize diagnostic and treatment processes. Additionally, more studies are needed to evaluate the long-term impact of EHRs on healthcare quality and cost-effectiveness across diverse settings, particularly in low-resource environments.

5. REFERENCES

Alfaro-Diaz, C., Svavarsdottir, E. K., Esandi, N., Klinke, M. E., & Canga-Armayor, A. (2022). Effectiveness of nursing interventions for patients with cancer and their family members: A systematic review. *Journal of Family Nursing*, *28*(2), 95–114.

Boonstra, A., Versluis, A., & Vos, J. F. J. (2014). Implementing electronic health records in hospitals: a systematic literature review. *BMC Health Services Research*, *14*, 1–24.

Borges do Nascimento, I. J., Abdulazeem, H., Vasanthan, L. T., Martinez, E. Z., Zucoloto, M. L., Østengaard, L., Azzopardi-Muscat, N., Zapata, T., & Novillo-Ortiz, D. (2023). Barriers and facilitators to utilizing digital health technologies by healthcare professionals. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, *6*(1), 161.

Cole, C. L., Cheriff, A. D., Gossey, J. T., Malhotra, S., & Stein, D. M. (2022). Ambulatory Systems: Electronic Health Records. In *Health Informatics* (pp. 61–94). Productivity Press.

Facchinetti, G., D'Angelo, D., Piredda, M., Petitti, T., Matarese, M., Oliveti, A., & De Marinis, M. G. (2020). Continuity of care interventions for preventing hospital readmission of older people with chronic diseases: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, *101*, 103396.

Facchinetti, G., Petrucci, G., Albanesi, B., De Marinis, M. G., & Piredda, M. (2023). Can

smart home technologies help older adults manage their chronic condition? A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(2), 1205.

Huang, C., Koppel, R., McGreevey III, J. D., Craven, C. K., & Schreiber, R. (2020). Transitions from one electronic health record to another: challenges, pitfalls, and recommendations. *Applied Clinical Informatics*, *11*(05), 742–754.

Isakov, A., Urozov, F., Abduzhapporov, S., & Isokova, M. (2024). Enhancing Cybersecurity: Protecting Data In The Digital Age. *Innovations in Science and Technologies*, *1*(1), 40–49.

Meryem, A., & Said, E. L. M. (2024). Investigating Opportunities, Challenges, and Threats of Artificial Intelligence in Accounting Functions Using A Systematic Literature Review. *African Scientific Journal*, *3*(24), 841.

Molina-Mula, J., & Gallo-Estrada, J. (2020). Impact of nurse-patient relationship on quality of care and patient autonomy in decision-making. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(3), 835.

Mullins, A., O'Donnell, R., Mousa, M., Rankin, D., Ben-Meir, M., Boyd-Skinner, C., & Skouteris, H. (2020). Health outcomes and healthcare efficiencies associated with the use of electronic health records in hospital emergency departments: a systematic review. *Journal of Medical Systems*, *44*(12), 200.

Nadimpalli, S. V. (2023). Ensuring Excellence in Medical Cybersecurity: A Comprehensive Guide to Protecting Healthcare Technology. *Revista de Inteligencia Artificial En Medicina*, *14*(1), 625–643.

Niazkhani, Z., Toni, E., Cheshmekaboodi, M., Georgiou, A., & Pirnejad, H. (2020). Barriers to patient, provider, and caregiver adoption and use of electronic personal health records in chronic care: a systematic review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, *20*, 1–36.

Ostropolets, A., Zhang, L., & Hripcsak, G. (2020). A scoping review of clinical decision support tools that generate new knowledge to support decision making in real time. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, *27*(12), 1968–1976.

Perkins, S. W., Muste, J. C., Alam, T., & Singh, R. P. (2024). Improving Clinical Documentation with Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review. *Perspectives in Health Information Management*, *21*(2).

Pramana, V. (2019). Hersey dan Blanchard. In *Praxis*.

Rolla, K. J. (2024). Trends and Futuristic Applications of Big Data and Electronic Health Record Data in Empowering Constructive Clinical Decision Support Systems. *Bio-Science Research Bulletin (Life Sciences)*, 78–91.

Sharikh, E. A., Shannak, R., Suifan, T., & Ayaad, O. (2020). The impact of electronic medical records' functions on the quality of health services. *British Journal of Healthcare Management*, *26*(2), 1–13.

Tsai, C. H., Eghdam, A., Davoody, N., Wright, G., Flowerday, S., & Koch, S. (2020). Effects of electronic health record implementation and barriers to adoption and use: a scoping review and qualitative analysis of the content. *Life*, *10*(12), 327.

Waseem, M., Adnan Khan, M., Goudarzi, A., Fahad, S., Sajjad, I. A., & Siano, P. (2023). Incorporation of blockchain technology for different smart grid applications: Architecture, prospects, and challenges. *Energies*, *16*(2), 820.