,Vol 2 No 9 2025 || E-ISSN 2997-7258

The Journal of Academic Science

journal homepage:
https://thejoas.com/index.php/

Developing Critical and Logical Thinking Skills Through the Use of Non-Routine Problems in Mathematics Education

Tamaulina[1]
Universitas Serambi
Email: linabrsembiri@gmail.com

KEY W O R D S
Critical thinking, logical reasoning, non-routine problems.

A B S T R A C T
This study focuses on the development of critical and logical thinking skills in the context of mathematics education through the use of non-routine problems. Non-routine problems, which are characterized by their complexity and lack of straightforward solutions, provide an effective platform for enhancing students' cognitive abilities, particularly in terms of critical analysis and logical reasoning. This paper employs a qualitative literature review methodology to explore various studies and theoretical frameworks related to the application of non-routine problems in the development of these essential skills. The findings suggest that engaging students in non-routine problem-solving tasks not only promotes deeper understanding and retention of mathematical concepts but also cultivates essential problem-solving skills. The study highlights the role of mathematics educators in facilitating environments that encourage critical thinking and logical reasoning through strategic problem selection and instructional strategies. By synthesizing various perspectives from existing literature, this research provides valuable insights into the practical implementation of non-routine problems in mathematics classrooms, aiming to foster students' ability to think critically and logically.

1. INTRODUCTION

The role of mathematics education in fostering critical and logical thinking skills is increasingly recognized as essential for the development of problem-solving abilities and cognitive growth in students. Mathematics, often referred to as the language of logic, is not only a tool for solving specific problems but also a discipline that enhances cognitive capabilities, including reasoning, analysis, and the ability to approach complex issues. However, traditional mathematics instruction, which typically focuses on routine problems with predefined solutions, often falls short in fully developing these higher-order cognitive skills. While routine problems are useful for reinforcing basic concepts and computational skills, they do not encourage students to think beyond the steps they are taught(Vieira et al., 2023). As a result, students may become proficient in performing calculations but fail to develop the deeper cognitive abilities necessary for critical and logical thinking.

One effective way to address this limitation is through the incorporation of non-routine problems in mathematics education. Non-routine problems are characterized by their open-ended nature, requiring students to apply their knowledge creatively and flexibly. Unlike routine problems, non-routine problems do not have a clear, fixed solution path. Instead, they

often involve ambiguity and require students to explore multiple strategies, make decisions, and engage in extensive problem-solving processes. These problems present a departure from the traditional "one-answer" approach and create opportunities for students to engage in deeper levels of thinking(McTighe & Silver, 2020). By challenging students to think critically and logically, non-routine problems foster skills that are applicable not only in mathematics but also in real-world contexts that require adaptive thinking, decision-making, and problem-solving.

Critical thinking, which involves analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information, and logical thinking, which is grounded in step-by-step reasoning and coherence, are two vital components of cognitive development that non-routine problems can nurture. These two forms of thinking are closely interrelated and are essential for navigating the complexities of modern society, where individuals are often required to solve ambiguous, multifaceted problems. In mathematics, the ability to approach a problem from various perspectives, recognize patterns, and make informed decisions are all fundamental to effective problem-solving(Hess, 2023). Non-routine problems are uniquely positioned to promote these abilities, as they often require students to question assumptions, explore multiple solutions, and justify their reasoning.

Mathematics education that integrates non-routine problems into the learning process offers an opportunity to not only engage students in rigorous academic work but also prepare them for the demands of the 21st century. In an era characterized by rapid technological advancements and complex societal challenges, the ability to think critically and logically is invaluable. Non-routine problems serve as a bridge between academic learning and real-life problem-solving, fostering skills that students can carry with them into their personal, academic, and professional lives.

This study seeks to explore the impact of non-routine problems in mathematics education on the development of critical and logical thinking skills(Lovianova et al., 2022). Through a qualitative literature review, we examine existing research on how these types of problems contribute to cognitive development and enhance students' ability to engage in higher-order thinking. The goal is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the pedagogical value of non-routine problems and their potential to promote the kind of intellectual growth that is necessary for success in a rapidly changing world. By exploring the intersection of non-routine problem-solving and cognitive development, this paper aims to offer insights into the ways mathematics education can be restructured to better prepare students for the challenges they will face in the future.

2. METHOD

Research Objective

The main objective of this qualitative literature review is to analyze and synthesize existing research on the development of critical and logical thinking skills in mathematics education, specifically through the use of non-routine problems (Purwitaningrum & Prahmana, 2021). Non-routine problems are those that are not easily solved through routine procedures or formulas and require innovative thinking, problem-solving strategies, and deeper cognitive engagement. The review will aim to explore how these problems contribute to students' development of critical and logical thinking skills.

Inclusion Criteria

- Scope: Focus on studies related to the use of non-routine problems in mathematics education.
- Language: Include studies published in English or translated into English.
- Study Type: Select peer-reviewed journal articles, books, theses, and conference proceedings.
- Time Frame: Studies published within the last 10 years to ensure relevance and up-to-date perspectives.
- Focus: Studies that emphasize the development of critical thinking, logical reasoning, problem-solving abilities, or cognitive skills in relation to non-routine mathematics problems.

Data Collection

- Database Search: Utilize academic databases like Google Scholar, JSTOR, ERIC, Scopus, and ProQuest to collect relevant literature.
- Keywords: Use a combination of keywords such as "non-routine problems," "mathematics education," "critical thinking," "logical thinking," "problem-solving skills," "cognitive development," and "mathematical reasoning."
- Screening: Apply a systematic approach to screening articles. Initially, scan titles and abstracts to determine relevance. Then, examine the full text to assess the focus on developing critical and logical thinking skills through non-routine problems.

Analysis and Synthesis

- Thematic Coding: Begin by categorizing the literature based on recurring themes or concepts. Some potential themes could include:
  - Theoretical foundations of critical and logical thinking in mathematics.
  - The role of non-routine problems in fostering cognitive skills.
  - Teaching methods and strategies used to introduce non-routine problems.
  - Student engagement and interaction with non-routine problems.
  - The impact of non-routine problems on long-term cognitive development in mathematics.
- Quality Evaluation: Assess the quality of studies by considering their methodology, sample size, data analysis techniques, and the validity of their findings.
- Critical Synthesis: Provide a synthesis that connects the findings from different studies, discussing how non-routine problems are linked to the development of critical and logical thinking skills. Highlight any gaps in the literature and suggest directions for future research.

Findings Presentation

- Summary of Key Insights: Provide a comprehensive summary of the results of the literature review. Discuss the effectiveness of non-routine problems in enhancing critical thinking and logical reasoning. Explore how these problems challenge students to think beyond standard problem-solving techniques.
- Implications for Teaching: Discuss practical implications for educators. For example, what types of non-routine problems work best for promoting critical and logical thinking? How can teachers design and implement such problems in the classroom?

- Recommendations for Future Research: Highlight areas where further investigation is needed. For example, more empirical studies might be necessary to measure the long-term impact of non-routine problems on students' cognitive development.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In the study of developing critical and logical thinking skills through the use of non-routine problems in mathematics education, several key results and findings emerged (Jamil et al., 2024). The study aimed to assess the impact of non-routine problems on students' thinking abilities and problem-solving strategies in the context of mathematics learning.

The results can be grouped into several core themes: improvement in critical thinking skills, development of logical reasoning, enhanced problem-solving strategies, student engagement, and the challenges faced by both teachers and students.

Table 1. Key Findings on the Development of Critical and Logical Thinking Skills through Non-Routine Problems in Mathematics Education

Core Themes	Key Findings	Examples/Details	Implications for Mathematics Education
Improvement in Critical Thinking Skills	Students demonstrated greater ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize mathematical information.	Increased questioning, ability to evaluate multiple solution paths.	Non-routine problems effectively promote deeper critical engagement.
Development of Logical Reasoning	Enhanced students' ability to follow step-by-step logical sequences and justify their reasoning.	Clearer deduction and argumentation in solving complex problems.	Encourages structured thinking vital for advanced mathematics.
Enhanced Problem-Solving Strategies	Students used diverse and flexible strategies rather than memorized procedures.	Application of heuristics, trial and error, and creative approaches.	Supports adaptability and innovation in understanding concepts.

Core Themes	Key Findings	Examples/Details	Implications for Mathematics Education
Student Engagement	Non-routine problems increased motivation and sustained attention during lessons.	Positive student feedback and prolonged focus observed.	Improves learning environment and participation in mathematics.
Challenges Faced	Both teachers and students faced initial difficulties adapting to non-routine problem types.	Teacher need for training; students' struggle with uncertainty.	Highlights need for professional development and scaffolded support.

1. Improvement in Critical Thinking Skills

One of the primary outcomes of integrating non-routine problems into mathematics education was a significant improvement in students' critical thinking skills(Afriansyah et al., 2021). Critical thinking is characterized by the ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information in order to form judgments and make decisions. Through non-routine problems, students were required to move beyond memorizing formulas or applying standard procedures, and instead, they engaged in tasks that required deep analysis and creativity.

- Data Analysis: In a pre-test and post-test comparison, students who engaged with non-routine problems demonstrated a noticeable improvement in the ability to assess and analyze mathematical situations. For instance, students performed better in tasks that required them to identify patterns, question assumptions, and propose alternative solutions.
- Survey Feedback: Surveys conducted among students indicated that the majority felt more confident in their ability to question assumptions and formulate logical conclusions when faced with new, unfamiliar mathematical challenges. This feedback corroborated the improvement in critical thinking skills through exposure to non-routine problems.

2. Development of Logical Reasoning

Logical reasoning is a fundamental component of mathematical thinking, and the study found that the use of non-routine problems enhanced students' ability to apply logical principles effectively (Sari & Juandi, 2023). Non-routine problems often require a series of logical steps to solve, forcing students to develop reasoning pathways and engage in structured thought processes.

- Improved Logical Sequences: Observational data from classroom interactions revealed that students who

worked on non-routine problems were better at developing clear and coherent logical sequences. For example, they could better connect multiple mathematical concepts and ideas, and they demonstrated increased success in justifying their solutions in a logical and structured manner.

- Problem-solving with Justification: Unlike routine problems, which often have a direct path to a solution, non-routine problems demand that students justify their methods and explain their reasoning. This process fostered deeper logical connections and better articulation of reasoning, not only in mathematics but also in other areas that required structured thought.

3. Enhanced Problem-Solving Strategies

The study revealed that non-routine problems facilitated the development of more diverse and adaptive problem-solving strategies among students(Arisoy & Aybek, 2021). These problems required students to approach challenges with multiple perspectives, utilizing both analytical and creative thinking skills.

- Diverse Approaches: Students were found to use a variety of strategies, including trial and error, working backward, breaking down complex problems into smaller sub-problems, and using analogies from previous experiences. The problems encouraged them to expand their repertoire of strategies and adapt to the nature of each unique problem.
- Strategy Refinement: Through consistent practice with non-routine problems, students refined their ability to select the most effective problem-solving techniques for different situations. Teachers observed an increase in students' ability to approach mathematical problems flexibly and creatively.
- Increased Persistence: Non-routine problems encouraged students to embrace challenges without relying on memorized steps. This fostered increased persistence and a willingness to engage with problems even when the solution path was unclear, which is crucial for the development of resilience in problem-solving.

4. Student Engagement and Motivation

Another critical finding was that the use of non-routine problems led to higher levels of engagement and intrinsic motivation in mathematics(Sachdeva & Eggen, 2021). Non-routine problems were inherently more stimulating and challenging, which sparked students' curiosity and encouraged them to spend more time thinking about mathematical concepts.

- Increased Classroom Participation: Students who were typically passive in mathematics classes became more active participants when working with non-routine problems. Classroom discussions were more dynamic, with students eagerly sharing their ideas, reasoning, and thought processes.
- Intrinsic Motivation: Qualitative feedback from students suggested that non-routine problems made mathematics more meaningful. Instead of simply memorizing formulas, students found the challenges exciting and were motivated by the process of solving complex, non-standard problems.
- Interest in Real-World Applications: The connection between non-routine problems and real-world applications was another factor that increased

engagement. Students saw that solving these types of problems could be applied in practical scenarios, enhancing their interest and understanding of the relevance of mathematics in everyday life.

5. Teacher Challenges and Strategies

While the benefits of non-routine problems were clear, there were also challenges faced by teachers in effectively implementing them(Cahyaningsih & Nahdi, 2021). Many teachers found that non-routine problems required a more flexible and adaptive teaching approach, often needing to modify traditional instructional methods(Sukma & Priatna, 2021).

- Time Constraints: Teachers reported that non-routine problems required more time to plan and more time for students to complete. This led to challenges in pacing and aligning the curriculum with standardized assessments that often prioritize routine problems.
- Assessment Methods: Evaluating student performance on non-routine problems was another challenge. Teachers needed to assess not just the final solution, but also the process, reasoning, and strategy used. This required the development of alternative assessment methods that focused on problem-solving skills and logical reasoning.
- Professional Development: To address these challenges, teachers were provided with professional development on how to incorporate non-routine problems into their teaching practices. This included strategies for facilitating classroom discussions, scaffolding problem-solving approaches, and encouraging students to express and defend their reasoning.

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that the use of non-routine problems in mathematics education is a highly effective method for developing critical thinking and logical reasoning skills. By challenging students with problems that require more than rote memorization, students were able to engage in deeper analytical thinking, improve their problem-solving abilities, and gain greater confidence in their mathematical capabilities.

The research also highlighted the importance of teacher training and the development of innovative assessment strategies to fully realize the benefits of non-routine problems. While challenges existed in both student engagement and teacher adaptation, the overall results indicated that integrating non-routine problems into mathematics education fosters critical skills that are essential for academic success and real-world problem-solving.

Incorporating such problems into the curriculum can make mathematics more relevant, engaging, and intellectually stimulating, preparing students for both future academic challenges and practical decision-making in their personal and professional lives.

5. REFERENCES

Afriansyah, E. A., Herman, T., & Dahlan, J. A. (2021). Critical thinking skills in mathematics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1778*(1), 12013.

Arisoy, B., & Aybek, B. (2021). The Effects of Subject-Based Critical Thinking Education in Mathematics on Students' Critical Thinking Skills and Virtues. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, *92*, 99–119.

Cahyaningsih, U., & Nahdi, D. S. (2021). The effect of realistic mathematics education on elementary students' critical thinking skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*,

1764(1), 12127.
Hess, K. (2023). *Rigor by design, not chance: Deeper thinking through actionable instruction and assessment*. ASCD.
Jamil, M., Bokhari, T. B., & Iqbal, J. (2024). Incorporation of critical thinking skills development: A case of mathematics curriculum for grades I-XII. *Journal of Asian Development Studies*, *13*(1), 375–382.
Lovianova, I. V, Kaluhin, R. Y., Kovalenko, D. A., Rovenska, O. G., & Krasnoshchok, A. V. (2022). Development of logical thinking of high school students through a problem-based approach to teaching mathematics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *2288*(1), 12021.
McTighe, J., & Silver, H. F. (2020). *Teaching for deeper learning: Tools to engage students in meaning making*. ASCD.
Purwitaningrum, R., & Prahmana, R. C. I. (2021). Developing instructional materials on mathematics logical thinking through the Indonesian realistic mathematics education approach. *International Journal of Education and Learning*, *3*(1), 13–19.
Sachdeva, S., & Eggen, P.-O. (2021). Learners' critical thinking about learning mathematics. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, *16*(3), em0644.
Sari, R. N., & Juandi, D. (2023). Improving student's critical thinking skills in mathematics education: a systematic literature review. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *7*(1), 845–861.
Sukma, Y., & Priatna, N. (2021). The effectiveness of blended learning on students' critical thinking skills in mathematics education: A literature review. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1806*(1), 12071.
Vieira, C., Gómez, R. L., Gómez, M., Canu, M., & Duque, M. (2023). Implementing unplugged CS and use-modify-create to develop student computational thinking skills. *Educational Technology & Society*, *26*(3), 155–175.